QUEDATESUD GOVT. COLLEGE, LIBRARY

KOTA (Raj.)

Students can retain library books only for tw weeks at the most.		
BORROWER'S	ORROWER'S DUE DTATE	
-		
}		ľ
ĺ		1
1		
}		
1		
1		1
j		}
		1
{		1
Ì		
-		
- 1		1



PROTECTION FOR INDIAN STEEL

RY

E. H. SOLOMON, B.A. (Cantab), F.R.E.S.

Sometime Scholar of King's College, Cambridge, Formerly Professor of Political Economy at the Presidency College, Calcutta, and Benares Hindu University



PUBLISHED BY THE
UNIVERSITY OF CALCUTTA
1924

PRINTED BY EMPPENDEA CAL BINEEJED AT THE CALCUTTA UNIVERSITY PRESS, SEVATE HOUSE, CALCUTTA

Reg No. 85B-7-7-24-1000.

PREFACE

My excuse in inflicting this, my first book, on the public is the necessity of seeing that, now that India, for the first time, is about to make a practical use of her fiscal autonomy, she exercises her right without injury to her permanent economic interests. That such an injury is not impossible is suggested by the strength and acerbity of two almost equally extreme schools of opinion in the country. On the one hand is Indian nationalist opinion, hy sentiment and tradition strongly wedded to protection, and, like Adam with the forbidden fruit, inclined when it has the opportunity, to take more than is really good for the country. On the other hand are vested interests, chiefly of the British commeroial community, who see in any measure of protection a reduction of trade and profits, and therefore condemn it as unsuitable for the country, affecting, in so doing, to have a truly touching regard for the consumer

While the one set of opinions exaggerates the possible harm, the other seems equally determined to magnify the probable advantages from protection, to the extent even of ignoring the cost to consumers and the country generally. It is necessary, therefore, that a careful study of the whole problem be made, and the verdict given free from any bias except that the economic interest of India as a whole be regarded of primary importance. Thereafter, and so long as they do not conflict with India's interests, due regard is paid to legitimate British interests, particularly those of Great Britain.

As regards the many defects of the book, I can only say that, while fully conscious of them, I have done the best I could to reduce their number. Where readers find the information scanty on a particular topic,—as for example, regarding the exact amount and dotailed allocation of fixed capital expenditure by the Tata Iron and Steel Company,—this is either due to the total absence of any such information, or its heing unavailable to any but the members of the Tariff Board. Sometimes, to avoid overloading the text, material facts have been relegated to footnotes, or have been casually referred to therein, and may be verified by those interested enough, through reference to one or other of the blue hooks and pamphlets indicated in the Billiography at the end.

I have dispensed with an index, as, considering the very moderate length of the book and the detailed table of contents, its utility seemed doubtful.

For the early publication of this book, my thanks are due to Sir Asutosh Mookerjee, hut for whose generous assistance in placing the Calcutta University Press at my disposal, this book would have taken longer in appearing before the public.

E. H. S.

Delhi, 18th March, 1924.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER I Introductory

	PAGE
The Swadeshi Movement	1
Demand for Tariff Reform	1
Opinion of Joint Select Committee on India's Fiscal	
Autonomy, 1919	2
Resolution on Fiscal Autonomy in the Council of State	2
Acceptance of principle by Secretary of State, 30th	
Juno 1921	2
Appointment of Indian Fiscal Commission	2
Its main recommendations	3-4
Acceptance of 'discriminating' protection by the	
Government of India, 16th February, 1923	4
Appointment of Tariff Board .	4-5
Its status, powers and constitution	5
Its first object of enquiry	5-6
CHAPTER II	
Consumption and Production of Steel in India	
Maximum scope for steel and metallurgical industries	
in India	7
Causes of the absence of metallurgical industries in	
India	8
Necessity for cheap and easily available supplies of steel	8
Approximate annual Indian consumption of steel	9-10
Relative quantities imported and manufactured in India	10-11
Expansion of Indian Manufacture along proper lines	11
Present monopolistic production of steel	12
Some advantages to India from this state of affairs	12

CHAPTER III

History of Tata Iron & Steel Co., Ltd.

PAGE

Failure of previous efforts at steel manufacture in I	ndia	
owing to (1) severe foreign competition		18
(2) unfavourable conditions in In	dia:	
railways, coal, labour, capita		13-15
Enterprize and capacity of the late Mr. Jamsetjee '	Tata	15
Preliminary investigations and difficulties		15-16
Registration and first capital issues of the Tata Iro	n &	
Steel Co., 1907		16
Commencement of operation, 1911		16-17
Production and Profits in pre-war period 1911-14		17
The war a happy dispensation for Tata's		17
Expansion of Steel production		18
Profits and dividends in war period 1914-19		19
Post-war period 1919-23		20
Incautious policy of directors		20-21
Omission to build up reserves .		21
Great expansion of capital to increase plant and p	pro-	
duction		22
Projected output from 'greater extensions'		22
Their estimated cost		22
Company's expenditure on Town and Municipal wo	rks	23
Facilities provided for its labour: housing, recreati		
schools, hospitals		23 - 24
Technical Institute. Disappointing results hitherto		21 - 25
Lack of skilled and efficient labour great handicap		25-26
Great increase in Labour Cost since 1916-17		26-27
Causes of this		27
Other disadvantages suffered by the company:-		
(1) dearer and inferior coal		28
(2) increased railway rates .		28

	PAGE
(3) severe foreign competition fostered by trade	
depression and accentuated by depreciated	
exchanges	29
(4) unfavourable contracts for the supply of	
rails and fishplates	29
Claim of the company for Protection 1922	29
Claim of the company for 1100ccion 1044	
CHAPTER IV	
Is Protection Necessary	
Application of canons laid down by the Fiscal Com-	
mission	30
Steel Industry already established on commercial scale	30
Large Indian market	31
Expensive sea and land transport to centres of con-	
sumption	31-32
Transport of raw materials	32-33
Favourable rate agreement with Bengal Nagpur Railway	33-34
Quality and reserves of iron ore	34
Reserves of different qualities of coal, dolomite, lime-	
stone, manganese, magnesite, chromite, fire-bricks,	
silica	34-35
Comparison of cost and quality of Indian and Ameri-	
can raw materials, (1) limestone, (2) coal, (3) stores,	
(4) refractories	35-37
Relatively expensive and inefficient labour in India	37
Climatic disadvantages in production	38
Lack of cheap capital	38
Necessity for time to outgrow some disadvantages in	
production	39
Superior external and internal economies of foreign	
producers	40
Past and future prices of steel	40-41
Expected reduction of Tata's socia	41 49

CONTENTS

x

	PAG
Protection needed for expansion rather than mainten-	
ance of existing scale of manufacture	4
Probable entry of new firms in the industry	42-4
Conflicting estimates of 'fair' price	43-4
Withdrawal of protection	4
The teaching of past experience	44-4
The lesson of the British General Election 1923	-1
Advantage of an enlightened and impartial Government	
in India	45-4
The necessity for intelligent study and publicity	4
, ,	
CHAPTER V	
High Protection vs. 'Marginal' Protection	
Rival policies of Protection defined	47
Advantages expected from High Protection	47
Quickest and cheapest method of developing industry	47
Disadvantages: (1) incentive to combination and high	
prices	47-48
(2) lowers efficiency and initiative	18-49
(3) hampers subsidiary industries .	49
Impracticability of time guarantee	49-50
High Protection unsnited to India's conditions	50
'Marginal' Protection ensures more certain if slower	
development at cheaper cost	50-51
Practical difficulties of Marginal Protection .	51
The decision of 'fair' return on capital (a) debenture,	
(b) preference, (c) ordinary and deferred stock	51-53
Estimates of capital entitled to earn	53
Writing down of premium on second issues of ordinary	
and deferred stock	53
Provision for borrowed working capital	54
Distribution of capital expenditure of company:	
(1) in stocks and shares	55
` '	

хi

	41	Doorling		PAGE
		recunar	-	0
	•••	•••	•••	55-56
•			• • • •	56
			• • • •	56-57
				57
	earnings charg	geable aga	inst pig	
steel		•••	***	58
degree of State	supervision	of industry	inevit-	
е		•••		58
		_		
	CHAPTER V	Į.		
#12 - Canada				
The Comparat	we Costs of S	teet Prout	ction	
v for detailed e	nguiry into co	sts		59
•	- •		& Steel	
				60
				61
		for:	•••	
			r cost	61-64
				64
				64-65
				65
				65
				65
				65–66
				66
				66–67
				67
				01
				•
and steel	n or onarges	oor ween In	_	e5 e0
	of coal on steel plant y's subsidiary s r scrutiny of fu e of required of steel degree of State e The Comparate ty for detailed e ative statement for 1916-17, 19 al figures for 1: es of cost in In- Pig Iron. Re- Peeding Mater Labour Stores Refractories Ingot Moulds Relining Fund Gas Producers Service Expens Rolls Depreciation. value of fir	of coal on steel plant y's subsidiary sources of earn r scrutiny of future capital e e of required earnings charg steel degree of State supervision e CHAPTER VI The Comparative Costs of S ty for detailed enquiry into co ative statement submitted by for 1916-17, 1921-22 and 192 al figures for 1922-23 es of cost in India in 1925-26 Pig Iron. Reasons for expe Feeding Materials Labour Stores Refractories Refinatories Relining Fund Gas Producers and Steam Service Expenses Rolls Depreciation. Difficulty of value of fixed capital che Distribution of charges	of coal on steel plant y's subsidiary sources of earnings r scrutiny of future capital expenditure e of required earnings chargeable aga steel degree of State supervision of industry e CHAPTER VI The Comparative Costs of Steel Producty for detailed enquiry into costs ative statement submitted by Tata Iron of for 1916-17, 1921-22 and 1922-23 al figures for 1922-23 es of cost in India in 1925-26 for: Pig Iron. Reasons for expecting lowe Feeding Materials Labour Stores Refractories Refractories Relining Fund Gas Producers and Steam Service Expenses Rolls Depreciation. Difficulty of estimating value of fixed capital chargeable to Distribution of charges between pi	on steel plant

(12) Interest, Profits and Managing Agents' Com-	PAGI
mission	68-69
Summary table of estimates	69
Main assumptions underlying it:	
(1) increase in steel cultural to 950 000 tons	
(2) fall in price of coal	69-70
'Base' price for British Steel	70
Cheapness of Belgian and German Steel	71-72
Temporary and more permanent causes thereof	72
Estimated 'base' price for foreign steel	72
The conditions for Imperial Preference	72-73
CHAPTER VII	
ORAFIZA VII	
Methods and Extent of Protection	
The problem in 1925-26	74
The transitional period requires separate consideration	74
The method of State purchase of output inadequate .	75
The levying of duty on Government and railway stores	75
Reductions in railway freights improbable	75-76
State guarantee of 'minimum' rates on capital unde-	
sirable	76
The method of protective import duties	76-82
Administrative and financial advantages to the State	
from these	76-77
Disadvantages of import duties:	
(1) Difficulty in ascertaining prices of foreign	
product	77-78
(2) Impossibility of administering the exact	
amount of protection desired	78-79
(3) The necessity for compensatory duties. Diffi-	
culties in adjusting these	79-80
Disadvantages proportionately greater for high import	
duties	81 - 82

CONTENTS	±i.

		PAGE
Advantages of small import duty-cum-bounty		82
Superficial disadvantages of the bounty method:		
(1) cost of new administrative machinery		82
(2) interference in internal economy of indust		83
(3) cost of bounty	•	83
Reforms necessary in the Indian system of taxatio	m	83-84
The extent of necessary protection against British		
foreign steel in 1925-26		85
Additional measures for 1924-25		85-86
Probable criticisms of measures	•	86
Answer to objection based on general unsuitabilit	v of	00
Imperial Preference	, 0.	Se
Reply to criticism that protection is inadequate	•••	8 -89
Opposition from the side of the consumer	•••	89-93
Estimate of extent and distribution of maximum		00-00
sible burden on the consumer	P03-	90-92
Countervailing direct benefits:	•••	00-02
(1) State's additional revenue in the steel indu	strv	92
(2) Increased wages, taxes, railway earnings		
profits		93
The inestimable advantage of enhanced 'produc		
power'	***	93
Power in the	•••	- 00
CHAPTER VIII		

Supplementary Measures

Goods that can and cannot be manufactured	in India 94–9
The case of pig iron	98
Method of calculating tariff valuations on varie	ous kinds
of steel	98
The problem of compensatory duties:	95-102
(a) On 'fabricated' steel and certain Ma	chinery 96-97

...

Bibliography

		PAGE
(b) On enamelled ironware and agricultural	im-	
plements	•	97
(c) On steel wire and wire products		97-98
(d) On steel eastings		98
(e) On wagons, locomotives and rolling stock		98-100
(f) On Tinplates		100-102
Proposed general tariff of 15% and 25% suitable for	r all	
except Machinery		102
Difficulty of adjusting tariff on Machinery items		102
Cost and advantages of assistance to wagon industri	ry 1	02-103
Conclusion		103

...

...104-105

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTORY

Ever since the days of the Swadeshi (literally 'own country') agitation in Bengal in 1906, the desire for the development of Indian industries has been keen with almost every native of India. Even the unfortunate failure of that movement failed entirely to extinguish this desire. It was recognised that the failure of home-made goods to survive foreign competition was due not so much to an inherent defect in the former as to had commercial organization and policy on the part of the promoters of Swadeshi enterprize, and exceptional advantages enjoyed by foreign competitors.

Of these, Indian opinion has always persisted in regarding the free-trade pre-war tariff of India as perhaps the greatest. The fact that British goods constituted a large majority of India's imports, naturally led to the suspicion that her British connection was responsible for a persistence in a free-trade policy even to the detriment of Indian industries. The history of the Indian tariff since 1892 and of the cotton excise duty lent colour to this suspicion. The fact that in 1917, India had practically to buy the right of raising her cotton duties from $3\frac{1}{2}$ to $7\frac{1}{2}$ % by contributing £100 towards the expenses of the war (and that too after great opposition from Lancashire), added weight to it. While the terms of reference of the Indian Industrial Commission, excluding fiscal policy from the field of measures to be considered to stimulate industrial growth, confirmed it into a deep-seated conviction.

¹ Vide Report of the Indian Fiscal Commission, 1921-22, Chapter 11.

Nevertheless, efforts to gain fiscal autonomy for Iudia were not relaxed. In the winter of 1917-18, prominent Indian politicians convinced Mr. Montagu of the necessity of granting some measure of fiscal autonomy if self-government for India were accepted by British opinion as a fit object of achievement; and the Joint Select Committee on the Government of India Bill in 1919 recommended that, whenever the Government of India and the Legislature were in agreement on fiscal matters, the Secretary of State for India and Palliament should abstain from any interference except in special circumstances. This was followed up in February, 1921, by the following resolution passed in the Indian Council of State:—

"This Council recommends to the Governor-General in Council that His Majesty's Government be addressed through the Secretary of State with a prayer that the Government of India he granted full fiscal autonomy subject to the provisions of the Government of India Act."

The answer to this resolution came in the Secretary of State's despatch of 30th June, 1921, in which the principle recommended by the Joint Select Committee of Parliament was definitely accepted.

Meanwhile, in answer to an enquiry in the Indian Legislative Assembly on March 1st, 1821, the Government of India announced its intention of appointing a Commission to enquire into the heat fiscal policy for India. With the acceptance of the principle of fiscal autonomy by the Secretary of State, all hindrance to the utility of such a commission disappeared, and the Indian Fiscal Commission was duly constituted on the 7th October, 1921, "to examine with reference to all the interests concerned, the Tariff policy of the Government of India, including the question of the desirability of adopting the principle of Imperial Preference, and to make recommendations." The Commission assembled in Bombay on the 10th

November, 1921. It devoted the cold weather to touring through and examining witnesses at the chief Indian industrial centres, including Bombay, Karachi, Lahore, Delhi, Campore, Calcutta, Rangoon and Madras. Its witnesses included officials in charge of Industries and Agriculture in various provinces, prominent industrialists, representatives of Chambers of Commerce, and professors of economics. After considerable discussion, it produced a report signed by all the members together with a Minute of Dissent on various points signed by a substantial minority. Both documents (erroneously though conveniently styled the Majority and Minority Reports) were published in September, 1922, and established what may rightly be described as an epoch in the economic history of modern India. The Minority Report was really a plea for high protection to be applied extensively if not quite intelligently. Apart from its really able chapters on Imperial Preference and the Cotton Excise, there is not much to recommend it to the scientific reader. On the other hand, apart from certain recommendations regarding Imperial Preferrence, excise and export duties, the Majority Report laid down an important principle of fiscal policy, likely to be of farreaching importance in future industrial development in India.

It advocated the adoption by India of a policy of discriminating protection, to be applied after careful investigation into the conditions of individual industries by a competent Tariff Board. For the guidance of such a board it laid down the following unimpeachable canons:—

- That no industry not already established on a commercial scale be granted any protection.
- (2) That an industry claiming protection should have natural advantages.
- (3) That it be found unable to develop at all, or as rapidly as is thought desirable, without protection.

¹ Valle pp 189-199, Indian Fiscal Commission Report

ţ.

(4) That ultimately it should be able to flourish without protection.

After much criticism in the public press and platform, the Report of the Commission came up for discussion in a debate in the Indian Legislative Assembly on February 16th, 1923. The original motion as amended by the Honourable Mr. (now Sir Charles) Innes, the Member for Commerce and Industry, was finally passed unanimously and decided.

- (1) to accept the principle of discriminating protection laid down by the Fiscal Commission, and
- (2) to appoint a Tariff Board of not more than three members, in the first instance for a period of only one year, to investigate the conditions of individual industries

In view of the doubtful success of Tariff Boards in the United States and Australia; of the opposition of powerful trading interests in India to any measure of protection; of the difficulties, supposed and real, in the way, of a sufficiently exhaustive investigation by the Board; it was considered wise to limit the appointment of the Board to a term of one year, and judge its success in that period before committing the country to the extra expense of adding a new department to its governmental organization.

The Board was to form part of the Government of India Department of Commerce and Industries. As such, its recommendations were to be of a purely advisory nature depending for their sanction on the assent of the Governor-General and the Central Legislature. Its powers of taking evidence too were considerably limited. It could not compel, but only invite evidence from individuals and public bodies concerned. Naturally, those interests seeking protection might he relied on to furnish ample evidence. But experience has shown that even those industrialists claiming

^{&#}x27; Since the separation of the Industries and Commerce Departments the Tariff Board is included in the latter.

protection have been loth to provide important information for fear of its being published. Besides, those interests more remotely concerned, sometimes through apathy, at others, through scarcely veiled hostility to the principle underlying the establishment of the Tariff Board, have hardly shown any diligence in coming forward to give evidence before the Board.

The constitution of the Board itself was completed in June, 1923. The President, Mr. Rainey, is a member of the Indian Civil Service translated from the position of Chief Secretary to the Government of Bihar and Orissa. Of the other two members both of whom are Indians, Mr. Ginwala was the Chief Whip of the Democratic Party in the recent Indian Legislative Assembly. He is a prominent business man from Burma, and has shown great practical sense and shrewd insight in the numerous debates of the last Assembly. The third member, Mr. Kale, has long been a Professor of Economics at the Deccan College, Poons, and a member of the Council of State since 1921. Thus constituted, the Board can fairly claim to be impartial and sufficiently representative of enlightened Indian opinion, and its labours are deserving of much greater public interest than has been evidenced.

Further, its immediate subject of enquiry is one whose results may have far-reaching effects on many industries in India and the whole course of Indian industrial development. The steel industry in India, young though it be, must form the basis of any great expansion of industrialism in India. Its investigation with a view to ascertaining what, if any, measures of protection be accorded to it, cannot but concern other industries allied or dependent on it. Necessarily,

After some opposition; une of the wateresses before the Tariff Board, Mr Peterson agreed to give cridence on cumera on the costs of production of steel. That evidence, with the exception of some important documents and statements (still kept pit stee) has since here published.

6

therefore its preservation forms the indispensable preliminary to any measures of protection for other Indian industries.

Whether its preservation and necessary expansion can be effected without external interference; and if not, what kind of external interference and in what degree, is necessary, will form the subject of the following chapters.

CHAPTER II

STEEL CONSUMPTION AND PRODUCTION IN INDIA

Some idea of the scope for steel industries in India is afforded by the subjoined figures of the average annual value of steel and products mainly dependent thereon, which were imported into India during the three years 1920-23.

	Class	Annual Average for years April '20—March '23
		Re
1	Iron and Steel and Manufactures thereof	23,50,00,000
II	Railway Plant and Rolling Stock	14,70,00,000
m	Cutlery, Hardware, Implements and Institu- ments (excluding Electrical Institutions and apparatus)	8,90,00,000
17	Machinery (including Belting for Machinery)	28,00,00,000
	TOTAL	75,10,00,000

These four categories or classes of goods extracted from the official classification of all imports, include practically all articles into the mannfacture of which steel enters either predominantly or in some degree. As such, the 75 crores of rupees (equivalent to £50 million) spent annually on them by India, represent the maximum scope available for expansion for protected steel and metallurgical industries in India. As a matter of fact, however, some allowance has to be made in the above figures for the inclusion of items which, like belting (in Class IV) and wcoden sleepers (in Class II) have no connection with steel. But even when this is done, in the present stage of Indian industrial development the successful manufacture of a large number of articles in these categories

can hardly be expected, even with the grant of a large measure of protection. Almost all kinds of machinery,1 the finer kinds of cutlery and the bulk of articles included under Class III,2 certain parts of locomotives and rolling stock, anchors, cables, special castings and high speed steel, are either not manufactured or incapable of being manufactured on a commercial scale in India to-day. This is due to the lack, partly, of a sufficiently large internal demand for each article to make specialization in a small portion of manufacture profitable for individual firms, but, principally, of a sufficiently large body of skilled labour and directors of manufacturing concerns, necessary for the task of specialization and extension into new fields. of manufacture. Another important reason for the absence in India of many branches of the metallurgical industry, is the dependence on foreign sources of raw materials and the delays, uncertainties and expense of such dependence. An expansion of such industries must necessarily be preceded therefore by a dovelopment of indigenous sources of raw material for them. Hence, the possibility of developing the economic production of steel of various descriptions must occupy a predominant, if not the foremost, place in any policy of industrial expansion in India. The first step must therefore be, an enquiry into the extent of the present production of steel in India, and the possibilities of future expansion. The most reasonable course of future development would naturally be along the lines of manufacture of those articles of iron and steel locally consumed, for which facilities are not lacking at present.

The subdivisions under Class IV (Machinery) include prime morers, typewriters, sewing and kutting machiners and parts, boilers, monog and electrical machinery, machinery for paper, rice, flour, sugar, cotton, jute and silk mills and it ca factores, hardly any of which articles are manufactured in India, though recently a Company has been established lor the manufacture of jute mill machinery Common machine tools and certain parts and sections at a flower of dynamic from connections firms in India.

This includes agricultural trachmery, clocks and watches, cuttery, gold and silver plate, dury applicances, domestic and builders' monoagery, telegraphic and musical materials, and various implements and machiners expedite of being worked only in manual or animal power

It is not easy to form an accurate estimate of the total Indian consumption of steel of various forms. Still more difficult (it would appear from the evidence before the Tariff Board), is it to obtain complete agreement among business men as to whether facilities for economic manufacture do exist, and in respect of what articles. A roughly approximate idea of the consumption of steel is however obtainable from the figures of imports together with those of indigenous manufacture.

In the financial year 1912-13, while 986,000 tons of iron and steel (including railway materials of iron or steel) was imported, valued at 14½ crores of rupees,* the amount of steel produced in India was only 19,130 tons.' These figures varied largely during the war and the short-lived boom and equally acute depression that followed it during the years 1919-1922. By the time we arrive at the year 1922-1923, both prices and quantities had recovered sufficiently from their excessive heights and depths and the figures of imports had gone back to their practically normal size, while those for local production had naturally increased.

The following gives an approximate picture of the quantities and values of iron and steel imported (including railway material), during the post-war period 1919-23:—

Period		Total value (in		
	Iron & Steel, Ballway Material.		Total	laklis of rupees).
	Rs.	Rs	Rs	Rs
1919-20 1920-21 1921-22 1922-23	445,000 737,000 633,000 771,000	65,000 77,000 157,000 185,000	510,000 814,000 790,000 956,000	1,841 lakhs ¹ 3 462 ¹ 2,554 ¹ 2,234 ⁴

^{*} Vide p 67 of Representation to Tariff Board by Tata Iron & Steel Co

^{*} Vide p. 7 of Statements and Notes received from Tata Iron & Steel Co.

¹ These figures evaluate the values of becomotives, tenders, wayons, carriages, in which seed forms an important if not the sole constituent. They however include the value of rails, flabplates and ateel sleeper imported as the weight of these has been included under the quantity figure. Unfortunately, no figures are available in the records of imports, gring the tonance of locametries and railing stock imported.

Taking the 1922-23 figures of imports as a basis, and allowing liberally for the omission of steel and iron parts in locomotives and rolling stock, we get a total figure of imports of about 1,000,000 tons. In addition to this, over 116,000 tons of steel were produced locally by the Tata Iron and Steel Co., while it is estimated that the amount of pig iron produced, in almost equal shares by the Tata Iron and Steel Co., the Indian Iron and Steel Co., and the Bengal Iron Co., was nearly 300,000 tons. Not all of this however was for internal consumption, the Indian foundry demand being estimated only at some 120,000 tons6 of pig. The total Indian annual consumption of iron and steel may thus be put at a little over 11 million tons. Of this quantity, barely 40,000 tons consisted of imports purely of iron and iron manufactures, the bulk of the country's consumption of iron being met by indigenous manufacture, while a large quantity of pig is already being experted to Japan and America. Of articles coming under the umbiguous categories of iron and steel and of steel, practically nine-tenths of the country's consumption had to be imported from abroad. While this, primd facie, implies a possibility of expansion in Indian steel manufacture, the growth of this manufacture in ten years from 19,000 tons to 116,000 tons strengthens this belief which is further confirmed by a detailed examination of the kinds of steel products imported and manufactured locally.

Of the various kinds of structural material imported in 1922-23 the most important categories are bar and channel steel (192,000 tons), pullars and girders (6,600 tons), angle and spring steel (26,000 tons), bridge work (9,000 tons), cast and wrought pipes and fittners (37,000 tons). In the same

[&]quot; Vide a report to the Calcutts "Statesman" of 22nd Sept., 1923, of a publication by Mr. C.O. Batchelder, sometime American Trade Commissioner to Calcutta, more recent inquiries induce into estimate the Bulban foundly demand for pur. at some 200,000 tools something.

This estimate seems more arounds than one of 2 million tons given in ord evidence before the Tauff Board, by the representative of the Linted Stad Corporation of India.

[.] Vide p 63 of representation to Taniff Beard submitted by the Late from & Steel Co.

period, ahout 44,000° tons of channels, girders, angles, tees, squares, rounds and flats were produced in India hy the Tata Iron and Steel Co. On the other hand, the Tata production of rails and fishplates in 1922-23 reached 71,000° tons, while the imports of similar categories were: rails, chairs and fishplates (97,000 tons); ° rails, chairs and fishplates (excluding those for railways) 16,000 tons; ° sleepers and keys (78,000 tons).

With a view to eliminating a large portion of those foreign imports, the Tata Company have extended their works and intend to increase their output of rails and structural materials to over 330,000 tons to in 1925-26.

In addition to the above, there was a fairly large import of steel plates and sheets, including galvanized sheets (122,000 tons), tinned plates (44,000 tons), sheets and plates not galvanized or tinned (97,000 tons). Against this, the Tata Company turned out less than 2,000 tons of plates in 1922-23. New works have been installed however, the output of which is expected to reach 84,000 to tons in 1925-26. A large portion of this will, it is hoped, be utilized by the subsidiary Tinplate Company of India, formed by the Tata Company in conjunction with the Burma Oil Company of India for the purpose of producing a maximum of about 30,000 tons of timplates per annum for manufacturing containers for petroleum or kerosene.

Thus it will be seen that, whereas there is undoubtedly large scope for the expansion of steel manufacture in India, steps have been and are being taken to direct this expansion along the proper lines. The possibility that the extensions of works planned by the Tata Co. at the conclusion of the war might prove superfluous and lead to overproduction in some articles, is therefore illusory. This happy result is due greatly to the fact that hitherto steel manufacture on anything like a large scale has been under

[&]quot; Fide pp 5 7 of " Statements and Notes, etc."
" Fide p 36 of "Statements and Notes, etc."

the direction of a single organization like Tata's. Overlapping of works, production beyond the country's consuming power, and consequent intensive competition and price-cutting which have characterized the development of the steel industry in Great Britain, America and Germany are, fortunately, still unknown in India.

That they may occur, however, in future is not to be ignored. For, in addition to the Tata Iron and Steel Co., two other companies, the Bengal Iron and the Indiau Iron and Steel (not to speak of the State Ironworks in Mysore) are already manufacturing large quantities of pig, and have only refrained from steel manufacture hitherto on account of its unprofitability. Should protection he granted, it was stated in evidence that the Indian Iron and Steel Co. would carry out their original idea of steel manufacture. In addition, a fourth company (at present not much beyond the stage of registration and investigation of prospects)—the United Steel Corporation of India—is likely to embark on the iron and steel industry and lay down a plant intended to rival Tata's in productive capacity.

In view of Iudia's comparatively limited consumption and in view of these possible developments from a policy of substantial protection, great care will have to be taken to see that there is no waste of capital resources of the country, in an indiscriminate multiplication of companies for steel manufacture and a careless organization of the details of such manufacture. Some control must be exercised through the Tariff Board, if protection is given, to see that those companies benefiting thereby, produce the requisite quantities of goods required by the country, and do not merely overproduce in certain branches of the industry already established in the country.

CHAPTER III.

HISTORY OF TATA IRON AND STEEL CO. LTD.

For a proper appreciation of the position now occupied by the Tata Iron and Steel Company in the organization of the Indian Steel Industry, one must go back to the origins of steel manufacture hy modern processes in India. About the beginning of the twentieth century, tentative attempts had heen made in India to manufacture sleel on a large scale by the Government of India and by some British firms in India (see the supplementary statement submitted by the Tata Co., to the Tariff Board, and published in the Calcutta "Statesman" 18th December, 1923). The Bengal Iron and Steel Co. (as it was formerly called), now the Bengal Iron Co., was one of these firms. It was found however that the costs of production at that time did not permit of manufacture being successfully carried out against the imports from Great Britain and Germany. This is not surprising when one recollects the conditions prevailing at the time. The price of pig and steel in Europe had not yet begun to respond to that general upward movement in world prices which may be said to have culminated in 1920. Besides, the intense competition between Germany and Great Britain resulted in importers in India getting their steel at prices well within Rs. 100 per ton landed cost. While foreign prices of steel were much lower, however, internal conditions in India were much more unfavourable for steel manufacture

Railway communication between the coalfields and ore deposits was very inadequate (in some cases totally absent) while freights were higher on coal and ore than is now obtainable by special concessions by large factories. This

was in great measure due to the restrictions as to minimum and maximum railway rates laid down by the Government of India and the Secretary of State.

Again, the known supply of coking coal in India, scanty even now, was then still scantier. The total output of the country was burely sufficient for its existing railways and factories, and a large import of coal from England figured in the annual trade reports. It was not until the coal "boom" in India in 1905-7, that Indian output and methods of extraction developed in such a manner as to lead to ample supplies enabling the profitable export of coal at moderate rates to markets in Ceylon, Singapore and the Spice Islands. This improvement in output and methods continued without interruption, in spite of the restrictions on price during the war period. After the war however, eusued a long interregnum from the end of 1919 till the middle of 1922 when frequent railway and mining strikes, shortage of available railway wagons, and high wages led to decreased output and an abnormal rise in the price of coal. This was accentuated by a fictitious increase in the demand for coal by a crop of 'mushroom' industries sprung up in the boom of 1919-20 hut now languishing or dead. Since then coal supply and prices have again reverted to more normal proportions, though the effect of the recent high prices must inevitably be felt by those who entered into long term contracts in 1020.22

Thirdly, the conditions of Indian labour twenty years ago were still more unsuitable than to-day. While large numbers of igaorant and idle labour were available in the villages, the problem of collecting and housing them under factory conditions at a particular place was just beginning to be tackled by the great jute and tea industries of the country. Knowledge of mass production was very meagre, while the class of indigenous skilled operatives and foremen was entirely absent. It was not surprising therefore that the

necessity of importing skilled European labour for supervision and instruction, of employing large masses of men whose ability for long must have been insignificant, of overcoming the 'back to the village' habit' among the labourers, of in short creating and maintaining an efficient body of labour, should have made the labour cost of steel manufacture in India almost prohibitive. To overcome these initial difficulties, especially at a time when capital in India was proverbially 'shy' of industrial ventures, required patience and courage, and skill of a very high order indeed. It was because the late Mr. Jamsetjee Tata possessed these qualities in an unusual degree, that he succeeded in a venture where others had failed before him.

At a time when the 'Swadeshi' movement in India was at its height Mr. Tata felt convinced of the desirability of establishing steel manufacture in India Previous to this, he had spent much energy and money in exploring carofully the possibilities of establishing the manufacture of steel on the most modern lines. He had realized that if he were to be successful, the foundations had to be laid well and truly and no oxpense spared for this purpose.

Consequently, careful surveys were made of the ore deposits of Singhbhun and Mayurbhanj (native states in the province of Behar and Orissa), transport problems were carefully studied, and the advice of eminent consulting engineers taken at great expense regarding the cost and erection of plants and the best methods of steel manufacture. After these preliminary investigations had indicated the possibility of the successful manufacture of steel, the difficulty of obtaining the requisite capital from indigenous sources almost killed Mr. Tata's scheme. Indian capital was proverbially

^{*} Indian factory labour is to a large extent even to day "seasonal." There is a strong tendine, for labourers during the harvest and sowing seasons to return from factories and undustrial towns to their native villages, coming back again during the sheek agricultural season.

shy, particularly in supporting Indian-managed ventures, while London, the world's financial centre was likewise chary in supporting a hazardous venture under the new experiment of Indian management. It was unly Mr. Tata's indomitable energy and optimism that finally succeeded in raising a capital of Rs. 75 lakhs in Preference and Rs. 150 lakhs in Ordinary shares. There was also a small issue of Rs. 6\frac{3}{2} lakhs in deferred shares given to holders of ordinary shares on certain terms. The capital once provided, the progress of the scheme was developed on sound lines without loss of time. Experts skilled in the various branches of steel manufacture were engaged on long term contracts to work the plant, the necessary machinery ordered, mining leases of ore, manganese, dolomite and various raw materials effected, and the organization of a labour force undertaken.

The allotment of shares and payment of about 4 th of the total original capital was made by the 26th October, 1907. Between then and the 1st May 1911 when the last instalment of original share capital was fully paid, the work of construction and organization proceeded apace. It was decided in the first instance, to concentrate on the manufacture of pig iron. the possibilities of which had already been demonstrated by the Bengal Iron and Steel Company whose output of pig was being readily absorbed in the local market. This did not mean the total neglect of steel, but relatively small quantities of steel were manufactured in an experimental way tests both of the quality of pig and steel proved highly satisfactory with the result that in the first complete year of working of the full plant, over 128,000 tons of pig were produced of which more than 19.000 tons were converted into steel rails and fishplates and structural materials of various descriptions. This however was not achieved without much experimentation and great cost. For "the construction period

itself took between 4 and 5 years, and when the operation began the utmost difficulty was experienced in manufacturing iron and steel of good quality. Even when the necessary standard was attained, the cost of production was so high that it was impossible to compete with foreign imports." ³

The result of these difficulties is indicated in the Profit & Loss statements of the company in the pre-war period 1912-1914. In the first year of working 1912-13 the net profit amounted to about 8½ lakhs of rupees from which, allowing for depreciation and a certain amount of preliminary expenses, only Rs. 3,63,000 was available for division, an amount insufficient to meet the full interest on the preference shares. With improved organization and increasing economies due to larger production and better sales, the profit for 1913-14 increased to nearly 22¾ lakhs of which over 12¼ lakhs was distributed in dividends. This on the then capital (paid up) equivalent to about 230 lakhs showed a return of barely 5½%, an indication that the industry was not yet out of the throes of its birth struggle.

At this juncture, Fortune smiled on the Tata concern. Just when the future of the industry was still in doubt, the advent of the great war with the practical cessation of all foreign imports proved a god-send to the company. All doubts as to the success of steel manufacture seemed to disappear, and the figures of steel production increased progressively during the whole war period and after, until a climax was reached in 1921-22, as shown below.

² Vide answer to question 46 in Mr. J C K. Peterson's evidence before the Indian Fiscal Commission on behalf of the Tata Co.

^{*} Before the non-co-operation movement, and even now to a certain extent (tide the complaint in the evidence of the Indiannahand Sheel. Casting Industry before the Tarift Board), Indua products of Indua managements saffered nodes the preguides held even by Induan buyers, of being considered upon facts inferior to foreign Only long experience of raticular products succeeds in effacing the strendice.

Statement showing amounts of pig iron produced, sold as pig, and used for conversion into steel by Tata Iron & Steel Co. Ld.⁵

Period	Pig Ire	Finished steel of various descrip tions	
	Total	Sold as pig	(in tons)
July 1912-June 1913	128,239	106,793	19,130
, 1913 , 1914	155,383	97,698	49,872
, 1914 , 1915	160,587	83 832	66,763
" 1915 " 1916	157,257	60,200	91,000
,, 1916 ,, 1917	147,497	39,541	98,726
"· 1917 " 1918	189,253	34,436	123,830
" 1918 March 1991	158,395	31,312	101,068
April 1010 " 1920	218,845	09,860	122,223
, 1920 , 1921	253,996	93,820	122,336
, 1921 , 1922	270,270	104,012	125,871
u 1922 " 1923	242,063	103,474	116,038
			[

The above figures indicate that with the tremendous war demand for steel, the residue of pig available for sale had to contract even though the plant worked at full capacity. No less than 291,562 tons of steel of various kinds were taken by the Government during the war period at prices which, while yielding a decent profit was far below the market level of the time. This result was not however obtained without some minor extensions in capital and plant which in August 1917 necessitated the issue of further share capital in ordinary and deferred sorip, amounting to another 120 lakhs of rupees. As,

^{*} Vide page 7 of "Statements and Notes" submitted to the Tariff Board by the Tata Iron and Steel Co., Ltd.

[•] Fulc answer to question 45 in Tata's evidence before the Indian Fiscal Commission.
• The final calls on these were only made in July 1920. At the end of the war in Kovember, 1918; of the ordinary and j of the value of the new deferred shares were paid up. (See Tata's representation to Tamil Board, p 81).

however, the first call apart from the application money, was not made until the 15th November, 1918, this new capital did not come in for a share of the dividends until after the nine monthly period from July 1918 to March 1919, after which the financial year was taken to run from the 1st April to 31st March in order to coincide with the fiscal year of the Government of India for simplicity in the assessment and levy of excess profits and income taxes. The sub-joined table gives an idea of the total net profits, annual amounts distributed in dividends, and percentage yield on ordinary and deferred capital, during the war period 1914-19:

Period	Net Profits' earned (1)	Total Divi- dends * (2)		Yield on ordinary	Yield on Deferred shares, s
	Rs	Rs.	ı		
July 1914—June 1915	24,83,000	18,01,000		8	25
July 1915 -June 1916	68 30,000	39,18,750	١	15	180}
July 1916-June 1917	1,10,77,000	54,18,750		20	591\$
July 1917—June 1918	1,05,70 000	54,18,750	1	20	291 ‡
July 1918—March 1919	67, 18,000	11,27,500	1	54	Δıl
Annual average for the above war period	79,52,000	37,25 000	i	14 1	165}

It is sometimes objected that a company that could pay such large dividends during the war is either too well established to need any outside help, or has sacrificed a proper provision

It may be mentioned that the difference between the figures in columns (1) & (2) represents the annual amounts set aside for deprecation, reserve, writing off of preliminary expenses, etc., and provision for taxes.

Nearly 30 lakks of rupees were paid in taxes during the period 1914-19 dealt with above

These include the annual dividends payable on the 6% first preference shares of the Co (Rs 75,00,000 in all), but not interest on debentures, provision for which is made as near of the company's costs of production before profit is reckoned

^{**} The statement of returns nn nrdinary and deferred stock in the following table is based on figures given in the reane of the Calcutta "Capital" of 13th September 1933.

for depreciation and reserves to the desire for declaring abnormal and sensational dividends. So far as the war period dealt with above is concerned, this objection is invalid. For, if not much provision was made for Reserves.10 nearly 129 lakhs were allocated to depreciation for the whole period from the commencement of operation till March 31st, 1919 Calculating an average debenture capital for the period from July 1912 to March 1919 of 85 lakhs," and assuming that of the total capital of 315 lakhs thus obtained, 250 consisted of fixed capital, the annual provision for depreciation on this assumpion works out to nearly 8 per cent, per annum on the whole of such capital. This is not a figure which compares unfavourably with the rates of depreciation allowed by Government in the assessment of income tax.12 Further, when we remember that the company took every care not to allow its plant to fall into disrepair throughout the strengous war period; and that it was the common opinion throughout the world that very large quantities of steel and steel products would be required for some time after the war to repair the havor caused by it; the directors' policy up till March 1919 in not making larger allocations to reserve can hardly be hlamed.

This judgment, however, can hardly be pronounced on their post-war policy. For, it is their post-war policy together with a number of unforeseen factors, which has reduced the

$$\left\{50 + \frac{10 \times 6\frac{1}{4} + 16 \times 3 + 25 \times 2}{6\frac{1}{3}} + \frac{1}{3} \times 25 \times 1 + \frac{1}{32} + 25 \times \frac{11}{32}}{6\frac{1}{3}}\right\}$$

¹⁰ From the beginning of operation till March 31st 1919, not more than 151 lakhs was not to Reserve (14de page 84 of " Representation to Tariff Board by the Tata Co")

¹⁸ Fide page 82 of Representation to Tird Board by the Tata Co For the whole period July 1912—March 1919, the average debentures of the company is obtained by the following.

This works out to 20-424 x 3x ... 50-4344 likks or not more thin S likb in The Bombay Income Tax Office allows the following rates of depreciation or writes clause of capital ... Colkery Machinery and Pinet 107. Works Machinery and Pinet and Electric Installations F15: Town Suntary works and buildings in works and Calliertes S2, Core Machinery and Windows 21%.

company from a profitable to a losing concern as disclosed by the following table of profits:—

Year	Net profit 13	Depreciation	Dividends		
			Total.	% on ordinary	% on deferred
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
1919 20 .	Rs 1,15,21,000	61,45,000	47,63,625	16	2021
1920-21	1,16,95,000	64,52,000	53,05,208	16	202
1921-22	88 38,000	41,00,000	44,93,993	4	Nel
1022-23 14	1,22,000	Unknown	Unknown	Nil	Nit

These figures, specially those relating to amount and percentages of dividend, are not quite intelligible unless it be known that during the whole of the period under discussion there was a continual expansion in the capital issues of the company which increased the total paid up capital (preference, ordinary and deferred plus debentures, 176 lakhs) from approximately 526 lakhs in March 1919 to over 1,376 lakhs in March 1923. (The latter sum includes 325 lakhs of debentures but not 115 lakhs of loans secured by debentures. The reason for this inclusion of debentures as capital is the claim of the company that their fixed capital alone exceeds the total value of all share capital plus debentures and loans secured by debentures). Excluding debentures, the actual share capital

¹³ The discrepancy between the figures in column (1) and the aum of (2) and (3) is explained by provision for taxes and reserve. In the period, 1019 22 however a pulity 1½ lakks only was put to reserve, a most measiloom policy considering the company's claim to rank 117 fakhs of depressation as fresh fixed capital entitled to cars a dividend, (Fide p 62 of Oral Evidence before Tariff Bound by representatives of Tata Iron and Steel Oral Evidence have of Tata for the Property of the Pro

The figures for this year are only provisional and taken from paragraph 2 of the letter dated 27th 28th July 1923 from the Tata Iron and Ricel Co, to the Tariff Board. Unfortunately I have been unable to accure a copy of the balance sheet for 1922-23 from the Tata Co. Writing from memory of a newspaper report, the net profits of the company for the period were in the vicinity of some 20 lakes which was issufficient to pay the duiled on all preference shares, not to speak of anything on ordinary or deferred.

¹⁴ Fide pp 9.11 of "Statements and Notes" submitted to the Tariff Board by the Tata Iron and Steel Co. This does not include the heavy premium at which the second ordinary and deferred shares were issued.

¹⁰ Fale p. 32 of Tata's Oral Evidence before the Tariff Board line 6 and after.

of the company since March 1919, has just trebled. The main reason given for this extraordinary increase in capital is the policy of expansion decided nn by the directors, and described as the 'greater extensions' to distinguish it from the minor extensions made tn the plant during the war in order to increase production.

The object of this 'greater extensions' policy is to increase output from a maximum of about 270,000 tons of pig from which nearly 126,000 tons of finished steel of various descriptions was obtained in 1921-22 tn almost 700,000 tons of pig, capable, after supplying the company's demand for pig to its local and Japaneso customers, of yielding a total of about 4,20,000 tons of steel in various kinds." In case of need, the complete new and old plant would be capable of turning out a maximum of over 600,000 tons of steel. Some coke ovens, blast furnaces, a steel furnace and converters (used for the Duplex process) and a new plate mill were already in operation in August 1923;" but it is not until 1925 that the new plant is expected to be in full operatioo. Until then, obviously the full economies in production from the whole plant can hardly be expected.

It is estimated that the total capital expenditure on the 'greater extensions' will amount to 10.57 crores of rupees, but a detailed statement of this was not available as at the time of evidence the plant had not yet been completely installed. Instead, we have a statement showing the cost of some of the plant, its installation and correlated expenditure on rolling stock, quarries, etc., to be nearly 184 lakhs as on the 21st March 1922. In addition, more than 175 lakhs had been expended by that date on the purchase and equipment of the collieries owned by the Company. The creation of a large steel

¹³ $F_{\rm kd}\epsilon$ p 14 (at the bottom) and p 36 of Statements and Notes by Tais Iron and Steel Co submitted to the Tareff Board

^{**} Vide pp. 32-33 idems

¹⁰ Tide p 37 idem

¹⁰ Yide p. 43 idem.

works employing thousands of workmen, involves however, supplementary expenditure of a large amount in the planning, lay-out and construction of housing accommodation; and the provision of adequate water, lighting and sanitary facilities. In a country like India, where these amenities of civilized life are scarce; and in the case particularly of the busy town of Jamshedpur which grew from a comparatively insignificant village; such expenditure was absolutely necessary for the company in order to retain its labour force. On March 31st 1922 this expenditure on capital account amounted to nearly 113 lakks, ²¹ divided under the following headings:—

Town Buildings		833	lakhs	approx.
" Sanitary works	٠.	$24\frac{1}{7}$	**	,,
Electric Installation for lights a	nd			
fans.		41	,,	,,
Ice and Aerated Water Factory.	•••	100	**	**

Total 113 lal.hs

The existing accommodation of 4,500 houses is however inadequate for the staff of about 15,000 men employed, and it was estimated that a further sum of 70 lakhs would be necessary to double the existing accommodation and provide everyone with sufficient room. Besides, a sum of 10 or 11 lakhs more was required for improving the drainage system and water supply.

While much still remains to be done for the improvement of the conditions of labour, the company's achievements in this direction are not inconsiderable. Its houses are given to workmen practically rent-free, a charge of only 5% on the capital cost being made to each tenant to cover interest. In

¹¹ Fide p 41 of "Statements and Noles"

Yude pp 91-94 of Oral Evidence of Tata representatives for particulars of the housing, education and welfare expenditure of the company.

addition, the company provides recreation 100ms where labourers can spend their leisure profitably and with interest to themselves. During the period of abnormal high prices in 1920 and 1921, shops were opened for the supply of grain and cloth and other necessaries at cost price, thus saving the abnormal profits made at the time by middlemen. Since then the growth of co-operative societies has made the continuance of this work unnecessary. There are, further, 14 elementary schools attended by 1,200 labourers' children, and though larger numbers could easily be dealt with in these institutions, their advantages are so insufficiently appreciated by the workmen that house to bouse visits to cottages have to be made by teachers to induce children to attend. Nor is this all, Technical training is provided for workers in evening classes. But while the total number on the rolls is 270, the average attendance is about 35 per cent. due to unavoidable absence by a large number who are employed on night shifts.

Perhaps more disappointing for the present are the results achieved by the Technical Institute established by the company in 1921 to train Indians with the requisite physique and education, for appointments of foremen and other technical experts now held by imported foreign labour. Of 2,638 applicants for admission to the Institute up to November, 1922, only 172 were found fit physically and otherwise, and of these only 53 were actually admitted to the training course at the institute. Unfortunately, even of this small number, six of the first batch of twenty-four left or were discharged, while only twenty remained of the second batch of twenty-nine. The principal reason, for these poor results, seems to be the strenuousness of the work required of thestudents, and the relative unattractiveness of the remuneration offered after the completion of the training course. ²⁵ Until such time, therefore, as the high

¹⁾ Tide pp 26 27 of Statemenis and Notes, and Mr Saunders' (the Director of the er that) Evidence before the Board (pp 44.47 of Oral Evidence by Representate & Steel Co before the Tariff Board).

standard of effort in vogue in America and Great Britain becomes acceptable to the middle classes in India, and the Indian intelligentsia learn to prefer the comparatively assured though smaller remuneration in industry, to the precarious chances of success in overcrowded non-manual occupations like law, education and Government service, the entire replacement of foreign by cheaper ²⁴ indigenous labour in the upper ranks of the industry can hardly he a matter of early development. Till then the labour costs of the company must remain higher than is the case in other countries, and the company will be handicapped in international competition.

The extent of this handicap is the greater inasmuch as the subordinate ranks of lahour have not proved themselves to be as relatively cheap as was at one time expected. The following table gives an ide of the wages and output per man since 1916-17 taken as typical of the war period, and each year in the post-war period, for each of the processes operated by the company. For comparative purposes, it would be better to take as typical of present conditions the year 1921-22 as the figures for 1922-23 are vittated by the dislocation caused by the great strike of September-October, 1922.

Mr R D Tata, a threeter of the company, declared that it was their policy to pay an induan employee j of the wages or salary that would be paid to a European or American for exactly similar work done

Wages (in rupees) and output (in tons) per head of uncovenanted labour employed.23

Process.		ftem=.	1916 17	1919 20	1920 21	1921-22	1922 23
Coke Ovens	{	Wages (in Rt) Output (in tous)	201 243	236 173	244 151	255 L53	238 134
Blast Furnace	{	Wegen Output	207 184	163 121	233 114	272 123	247
Open Hearth	{	Wages Output	230 134	203 82	242 74	240 77	209 80
Blooming Mill	{	Wages Output	519 523	394 450	566 480	596 473	532 450
Rolling Mill	{	Wages Output	3 ₀ 5 76	378 68	428 63	402 64	343 61
Bar Mill	{	Wages Output	289 391	288 29	362 31	357 29	340 34

In almost every process, there is the same story to be read,—a tale of increasing wages and diminishing output per man. In every process without exception, there has been an increase in labour costs per ton, smaller in some processes larger in others. The reasons for this development are various. In the first place, looking at the figures of output, a portion of the great deterioration in some processes like the Coke Ovens, Blast and Open Hearth furnaces, is attributed to the great wear and tear incurred by some of the furnaces during the war, and a deterioration in the quality of coal. Besides, it is admitted that of recent years the company has been employing a

¹⁴ Vide pp. 14 16 of "Statements and Notes, etc " from which this table is compiled

larger number of men than were strictly necessary for the plant installed in order to train them and have a lahour force ready for the fully expanded works. It may be, too, that the directors were induced to adopt this policy as a safeguard against the dislocations caused by strikes. For, since the close of the war, there have been two great strikes and a number of minor interruptions and threats of such. The first in Fehruary, 1920 was very widespread and lasted over two months. As a result there was an all-round increase in wages which had become insufficient to meet the increase in the cost of living. The effect of this is seen in the fact that except in the coke ovens, the wages per man underwent by far the largest increase hetween 1919-20 and 1920-21. Except in the blooming mill and bar mill, it is to be feared that output in this period showed no sign of increasing, thus lending colour to the opinion that industrial lahour in India is not subject to the same stimulus to increased production that is afforded by adequate wages in the West. Indeed, there is good reason for the conviction, fortified by experience with colliery labour in 1920-22 that in India, when once a lahourer has earned a sum sufficient for his meagre wants, he prefers leisure to earning more pay hy greater effort. The only remedy to such a state of mind is the creation of new wants in him, hy education and facilities for healthy recreation.

Great hopes are placed therefore on the steps taken by the company for the housing and general welfare of their labourers. But the effects of these can only make themselves felt slowly. More swift economies are likely to ensue from the installation of the new plant, which will reduce the number of men attached to each furnace now, and hence the labour cost per furnace output.

These improvements, will not, however, have eliminated all the causes of the company's post-war troubles. The average cost of coal went up from Rs, 3-2 at on in 1912-13 to

Rs. 8-15 in 1922-23.46 In 1918-19 it was only Rs. 5 per ton while by 1920-21 when the coal trade had already begun to experience substantial increases in price it was only Rs. 7 per ton. For a portion of the increase in later years there is a peculiar reason. Much of the Indian coal production i.e. from 6 to 7 million tons, is annually taken by the railways. The terms of purchase for railway contracts are usually arranged, for both state and company managed railways, on the advice of the Mining Engineer to the Railway Board. And it is not unusual for large private consumers of coal to take the Railway Board rates as a basis for their contracts. This is what was done by the Tata Co., in 1920 and 1921 when they entered into some long-term contracts for large quantities of coal. The result is, that although coal prices have come down considerably since then, the company's costs are unduly inflated 27 and unlikely to be appreciably reduced until 1925 at any rate, from which date it will be necessary for the Railway Board to purchase coal on new contracts.

In addition to expensive coal, the increases in Railway rates in India since 1919 have affected the costs of the company though to a smaller extent than other concerns, because of the existence of a somewhat advantageous freight agreement between them and the Bengal Nagpur Railway Co. 15

While these factors on the one hand raised the costs of the company, other forces were in operation to reduce its

²⁶ Fide p 51 of "Oral Evidence etc."

^{**} In an action brought in 1925 by the Government of India against the late Mr. R. W. Church sometime Binning Engineer to the Endway Board, it transpored that the lates, in return for personal consideration, but here undered by Hervi Jardine & Pattinson to raise the raises for coal all round by about Rt 2 per ton. These facts were alleged to have occurred, in a letter written from England in January, 1923 by Charch humself to Mr. Wintworth, the present Mining Engineer, stating that Jardine & Pattinson and come to him about the end of 1929 with this proposal, but that they had not part him more than \$2,000 of the stepulated \$100,000. He hoped that Wintworth would help thin to recover the remander of the pressued that Instead, Mr. Wintworth brought the matter to the Government's notice, and action was taken, but Charch dued to Calcutte before the toldercent on his case was pronounced.

[:] Fide p 49 of Statements & Notes, etc

net receipts, thus converting the prosperous Profit and Loss Sheet of 1919-20 into the depressing one of 1922-23. The chief of these factors was, of course, the world wide depression in trade which affected steel prices as much as and perhaps more than any other. For example, the price of English beams and joists which in June 1921, was about Rs. 17 to 18 per cwt. in the Calcutta market, had fallen by September 1923 to Rs. 9 to 10 per cwt.29 Similar reductions occurred in other kinds of steel. While the advent since 1921 of steel from the continent at much lower rates even than the reduced English prices, added a still more serious element to the competition that the company had to bear. Nor was this the sum of the company's misfortunes. Contracts for steel rails eutered into by them, on the basis of the continuance of their old scale of production costs, have resulted in depriving them of the benefit of higher market prices, and, in some cases, in supplying rails actually at a loss."

It was this tale of continuous misfortune that led the company to approach the Government for protection in 1922. They based their claim on a number of grounds including the service rendered during the war by the supply of cheap steel to the government. Other reasons were the plea that steel is a 'hasic' industry and must be fostered in India; that low prices and keen foreign competition fostered by depreciated exchanges were likely to continue for a long time in the future; and that the industry possessed natural advantages, which, when developed would enable it to compete unassisted in the international market. Whether these claims are sound and prove the necessity for protection will be investigated in the next chapter.

¹⁹ These are retail prices for comparatively small lots of a few tons each, and include duty, clevring charges and muddleman's profit.

³⁰ Fide pp 45 46 of Statesments & Notes and pp 71.75 of Representation to the Tauff Bo rd submitted by Tata Iron & Steel Co

CHAPTER IV

IS PROTECTION NECESSARY?

In considering the question of the necessity of protection for steel the principles laid down by the Fiscal Commission form a useful guide. Of course, it was to be expected that different interests would find in the same set of principles the source of entirely different conclusions. Thus while the Tata Company claim protection among other grounds, because their industry is one of military value, is the basis of the future industrial expansion of India and possesses natural advantages; the Bengal Chamber of Commerce regards the Indian Steel Industry as having failed to fulfil the canons of discriminating protection, and therefore, not entitled to assistance. The following discussion will show how far these opposing views are correct.

The existence of the Tata Company for the last twelve years leaves no doubt about the practicability of manufacturing steel on a commercial scale. In fact, one of the reasons for refusing protection advanced by the Bengal Chamber of Commerce was the opinion that should the present company be wound up, other people might be forthcoming to take up the steel industry. Whether this opinion is justified or not, it, together with the experience of the Tata Company shows that, at any rate, protection cannot be denied on the ground of the industry not yet being established on a commercial scale. As however opinions are very keenly divided as to whether the steel industry does possess sufficient natural advantages, a careful enquiry into the exact character and extent of these will be attempted here.

It has already been seen that there is an ample home market for steel to induce expansion. Even when allowance is made for long distances separating centres of consumption in India, the extent of the market within favourable approach from Tatanagar is enormous.1 That the advantages of quick and regular supply, of easy terms of purchase and ready accessibility offered by local manufacture will ultimately be sufficiently appreciated by consumers of steel in India, can hardly be doubted. And, provided quality and price compete favourably with goods of foreign manufacture, there need be little doubt regarding the adequacy of demand for indigenous steel. As regards quality, the products of the Tata Company bear a high reputation, and when once they are able to offer favourable prices, orders are bound to come to them in spite of the predilection shown by railways and firms with English Boards of Directors, to place orders in England. In deference to a very strong public opinion, the Government itself attempts to place as large orders as possible for its requirements in India, and to this end has decided to extend the organization and operations of its Stores Purchase Department in defiance of the retrenchment suggested therein by the Incheape Committee. This is bound to have due effect in course of time on the action of private firms, which, in India, provided their interests do not actually suffer thereby, are particularly prone to Government influence and example.

Whether the Tata Company can offer a competitive price against foreign products, depends largely on its transport facilities both for production and sale. We have already noted the handicap on its sales imposed by the relatively larger rates for freight on finished products to distant markets like

¹ Even if we exclude the local demands of Kanach, Bombay, Rangoon and Ceylon, treights to which from English and Cerman ports are less than from Tatanagar (see p. 68 of Tata's "Representation to the Tariff Board," etc.) the extent of territory within favourable reach of Tatanagar is very large. Much room for widening this exists, if the rankway decade to grant more favourable rates from Tatanagar to distance entere of communities.

Karachi, Bomhay, Ceylon and Rangoon. Some of these markets like Karacbi would temain unapproachable, bowever much the railways might be willing to make reasonable reductions in rates. But, slight reductions in the rate per ton mile to Bombay and for export from Calcutta might open up large local markets for Indian steel, provided such action is supplemented by substantial reductions in steamship freights from Calcutta to Rangoon and Colombo. Indeed, if the figures supplied by the Tata Co. are reliable, the rates of Rs. 20 and 15 respectively to Rangoon and Colombo from Calcutta, (against Rs. 16-14 from English ports) are hardly accountable except by the fact that these routes, heing monopolised by the P. & O. and British India Combine, the supplying companies charge whatever freights they deem best. If competition between shipping companies were allowed on these routes, there is no doubt that much lower rates, still yielding a profit could be established.

But if ultimately transport rates on the finished product may prove a bandicap to the company, in that they are higher than those for foreign products to India, the company enjoys some appreciable advantages in this respect in regard to its raw materials. Most of its ore is about 90 miles from its works only, while the furthest reserves of this is not beyond 200 miles. There is railway connection with all hat 20% of these deposits and for these only short sidings have to be constructed. Similarly, its coal deposits all lie within a radius of 200 miles, the bulk of them being not further than 120 miles. Nor are its dolomite and limestone quarries situated further than 126 and about 300 miles away, though the dolomite and limestone purchased from other sources have to travel nearly 500 miles. With the exception of this minor drawback in respect of limestone, the distances from the works of the principal raw materials compare favourably if not with English conditions, certainly with American and also with some continental works. Apart from distance however, the normal

rates of freight on Indian railways for these raw materials do not compare favourably with Continental or American conditions. In the latter country, particularly where important railway lines are frequently owned by the steel manufacturers the problem of transport rates is particularly easy of adjustment. To a certain extent, however, the Tata Co. have overcome its difficulties by a rate agreement with the Bengal Nagpur Railway which handles almost all its raw materials. This agreement saves the company substantial amounts annually in rebates which have the effect of reducing the actual freight paid per ton mile far below the normal level.2 The apparently unintelligihly large saving to the company in the year 1922-23 is expleined by the fact that the agreement saved the company from the general enhancement of Railway rates and fares sanctioned by the Government from April 1st, 1922. In return, however, for the advantages conferred by this agreement, the B. N. R. are supplied annually for five years from April 1st, 1920. about 15,000 tons of rails with the necessary fishplates at Rs. 110 and Rs. 140 respectively per ton, rates far below the market rates hitherto ruling. In fact, it is estimated that under this agreement the B. N. R. saved during the first two years

The following statement of the tomage handled, normal freight due, and freight payable under the agreement with the B N R Co, extracted from p 60 of "Statement & Notes, etc." shows the advantages derived from it by the company.

Period,	*ohnage	Normal Freight	Freight paid	Saving to Company.
	ks.	Rs.	Rs.	, Rs.
April 1918 - March 1919 .	1,371,276	33,88,284-14	16,06,998 3	17,81,288-11
,, 1919 ,, 1920	1,640,261	42,06,105	20,94,018 12	21,12,086-4
, 1920 , 1021 .	1,454,367	36,66,030 15	16,18,013	20,48,017-15
" 1921 " 1922	1,624,230	42,45,790	17,27,461	25,18,329
,, 1922 ,, 1923 .	1,748,452	62,73,500 5	20,17,520 6	42,56,069-15

of its currency over 27 lakhs and 9 lakhs respectively.3 In spite of this, however, the Tata Company are well content to preserve intimate relations with the railway as is evidenced by their attempt during the depression to secure favourable revisions of existing contracts from all other railways except the B. N. R. whose rates for rails and fishplates are lower than those paid by any other. On the other hand, the B. N. Railway seem also to be satisfied with their freight agreement, from their representative's statement in evidence before the Tariff Board. It was stated that the rebates granted to the Tata Co., were not exceptional and might be granted to any concern who could guarantee the minimum quantities and regular despatches guaranteed by the Tata Co. As these quantities are likely to increase still further in future with the installation of the greater extensions, it is reasonable to expect the railway to continue its offer of low net rates to the company. So far as oheap transport is concerned, the company may therefore be said to possess a natural advantage in production.

Nor is it lacking in the requisite raw materials of manufacture. The company owns ore deposits in the districts of Singbhum, Mayurbhanj and Keonjhar estimated at 472 million tons much of them containing as much as 60 per cent. iron, while their estimated annual consumption for the completed plant in 1923 does not exceed 1½ million tons. Again, the company possesses ample reserves of coal for their purpose, although the quality is not as good as in Eogland, yielding as much as 18 per cent. ash. The collieries owned by them are estimated to contain 410 million tons of coking coal, 91 million tons of gas producing and 387 million tons of good second class steam coal. While their annual consumption of these coals are put at 1,300,000 tons, 230,000 tons and 300,000 tons respectively, the company are further secured against the posibility of any coal shortage by contracts with coal firms for an

s Vide p 75 of Representation submitted to the Indian Tariff Board by the Tata Co

annual supply ranging from 676,000 tons to about 888,000 tons of all descriptions f for the next 20 to 25 years.

Again, while its annual consumption of dolomite does not exceed half a million tons, the company owns quarries estimated to contain 151 million tons and has contracts for further supply of from 65,000 to 85,000 tons annually. It has also a contract for the supply of over 100,000 tons of limestone for the next 25 years, and owns a limestone quarry estimated to yield 4 million tons at Bilaspur about 300 miles from the works.

In addition to these main raw materials, its requirements of magnesite, chromite, fire-bricks and silica, are amply provided by either its own properties or by long-term contracts with other firms. But while the quantity and situation of the raw materials available for steel manufacture are satisfactory, the following extracts from the evidence of the General Manager of the Tata Co., suggest that their quality and price do not always compare favourably with other countries.

Comparing certain items of cost of production in America and India during 1915-17 (since when the costs in India are alleged to have increased greatly) Mr. Tutwiler says:—" "... We have to use 250 lbs. of limestone for a ton of steel against their (America's) practice of 200 lbs. per ton of steel. Our limestone cost us Rs. 6 a ton and theirs cost Rs. 3-6 a ton... the excess consumption is due to excess acid in the raw stone in this country and then we also have to calcine with a coal of inferior quality at a higher cost. Our limestone also contains a less quantity of CaO than it does in America, so that we have to spend 8 annas above them on this article......The next item we have is moulds and stools. Our cost per ton of steel is 12 annas and theirs was 5 annas. They get about

^{*} Vide p 47 of "Statements and Notes, etc."

^{4 1} ds p 48 of "Statements and Notes," etc., and pp 17 21 of Oral Evidence given before the Tariff Board by representatives of the Company for details of raw materials.

^{*} Vide pp 65 67 of ' Oral Evidence" before the Tariff Board by Tata's representatives

36

100 heats per mould as against our 65. (The shorter life of the Indian mould is due to the relatively high phosphorus content of Indian iron).2..... We used at that time 900 lhs. of coal per tou of steel against their 600. Our coal cost us Rs. 2-8 and theirs Rs. 2-4, a difference of only 4 annas a ton.

And again.

"Materials, repairs and maintenance cost us 11 annas a ton and it cost the States 5 annas a ton-a difference of 6 annas. That was on account of the higher price of material. We have to bring by sea most of the materials and that cost us more......The same thing applies to tools, lubricants and other miscellaneous supplies-12 annas a ton disadvantage..... Under "refractories" our cost was 14 annas and theirs 10 annas, a difference of Lannas. It is due to the materials not being up to quality."

These facts raise a doubt as to whether India really enjoys a natural advantage in raw materials-a doubt which is increased by the following evidence showing still further increases in the expense of raw materials in 1921-22 over 1917.

"In 1921 we used 400 lhs. of limestone at Rs. 6-9 per ton against 250 lhs. at Rs. 6 in 1917in 1917 our coke contained 20 per cent. ash and in 1921, 25 per cent. ash, and we had to use more coke in calcining limestone of poorer quality ... Our moulds and stools, quite a big item, cost us Rs. 1-4 in 1921 as against 12 annas in 1917 Fuel rose from Rs. 2-8 to Rs. 6 due to increased price of coal Materials, repairs and maintenance increased by five annas. .. Refractories went up from 14 annas to Rs. 3 on account of the higher consumption of dolomite, magnesite and calcining lime with inferior coal. Our dolomite cost us Rs. 5 a ton in 1921 against Rs. 3-8 in 1917. Magnesite cost us Rs. 90 a ton in 1921 against Rs. 75 in 1917."

^{&#}x27; The statement in parenthesis is mine, not Mr Tutwiler's

Since 1921-22 there has been an improvement in some directions. The percentage of ash in the coke has been reduced to 22, and the quantity of dolomite and coke respectively per ton has been brought down from 1,500 lbs. and 3,300 lbs. to 1,000 lbs. and 2,500 lbs.⁵ Notwithstanding these improvements it must be admitted that the cost of materials required per ton of finished steel is high relative to other countries, and the statement that India possesses cheap raw materials for steel manufacture to-day must be accepted with substantial modifications.

In regard to labour, India possesses still less natural advantages. Her indigenous labour is ignorant, inactive and irregular, and incapable of strenuous exertion for long periods, and while the range of individual salaries of Indian labour may be low, their efficiency earnings relative to other countries is much higher. This is indicated by the fact that Tata's labour cost per ton of steel ingots was Rs. 4-2 in 1917 against Rs. 2 in America, and that their costs are higher in every item where labour enters. Thus speaking of furnace repairs, says Mr. Tutwiler: "It cost as Rs. 1-12 per ton of steel and it cost them, (i.e., America) Rs. 1-5. We are not getting as good practice." Of course, a good deal of the high labour costs is due also to the liberal salaries and privileges of the expert foreign labour brought out to superintend and control. In addition to much higher salaries than similar men get in England or America, they are given a month's leave on full pay for every year of service and have their passages paid to and from the country of origin. Besides, they also enjoy in regard to housing, etc., the advantages provided by the company for its employees. With time the disadvantages due to expensive foreign labour and the comparative inexperience of the Indian workman to conditions of steel manufacture. may be overcome. But even then labour is hardly likely to

^{* 1} ide p. 68 of 'Oral Evidence" before Tauff Board by representatives of Tata Co.

be free from disadvantage in meeting foreign competition. For, "men will not stick for twelve months as in the case of cold countries," and again (says Mr. Tutwiler), "We attribute this primurily to climate conditions. In other countries a man stands up with his face right up to the furnace all the year round, but here they cannot stand it for more than seven months. For four munths it is absolutely impossible for him to do it."

Again, there is little doubt that India has no natural advantage so far as cheap and easily available capital is concerned. For awhile in 1919, it was supposed that the capital amassed by India during the war would result in permanently lowering the rate of interest which industrial enterprizes might be required to pay both on working and fixed capital. Unfortunately bowever, while much of this capital was dissipated in the formation of mushroom companies during the flotation boom of 1919-20 (most of these companies are now defunct or moribund) and some transferred to England during the Exchange fluctuations of 1920; the growth in the demand for capital for financing Government deficits as well as public works like railways, docks, cauals and ports, has been so great since then as to extinguish the hope of a lower rate of interest.

The result has been that, whereas before the depression the Tata Co. had to pay a low interest on the comparatively small amount of working capital they borrowed; at present they require much larger sums under this bead and have to pay a higher rate. To a certain extent this rate is also due to their depreciated credit since their failure to earn dividends from 1923, but when all allowance is made for any recovery in this in more prosperous times, the average rate of interest (not less than 6 per cent.) * psyable will still be higher than

On page 84 of 'Oral Evalence, etc.," Mr Peterson thanks it would be impossible to get money against preference shares and debentures for less than 9 per cool and 74 per cent respectively. The average return on preference and debenture stock in

what banks are willing to charge manufacturers in other countries for similar accommodation.

The above considerations regarding raw material, labour and capital should qualify the general and widespread Indian opinion that the steel industry enjoys natural advantages in production. They do not, however, condemn all claim to protection by the Steel Industry. For while they show undoubtedly that many so-called advantages are at present really disadvantages, there is reason to expect that some of these disadvantages at any rate will become less or even disappear with greater experience in the industry and a revival of normal trade. When it is further remembered that any industrial advance in India postulates the existence of a well-developed and flourishing steel industry with easily available supplies of cheap steel, the establishment of such an industry hecomes of considerable importance.

The question how far, and at what speed, this establishment is likely to occur without any external assistance has therefore to be investigated.

A survey of the history of the Tata Co., has shown that except during the war and the short-lived post-war hoom when steel prices were even higher in India than the controlled prices during the war, the company has not heen able to conduct its steel manufacture on a profitable hasis. Even in the short pre-war period, 1912-14, the earnings of the company at no time exceeded an average of $5\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. on the total ordinary and deferred capital. Any hopes that its confident supporters might have had of its being able to withstand foreign competition in steel, were dispelled by the events of the year, 1921-23. With a long established manufacture

well-established jute manufacturing companies is 7 per cent, and 6 per cent, respectively 11 and when its credit revires the company should not find it d fibral to get large temporary accommodation from the banks stabout 1 per cent over the average Imperial Bank rate. This is in the vicinity of 5 per cent though it fluctuates normally between 4 per cent, and 9 per cent, during the year. In the half-year ending 31st December, 1923, the average arte was 4 ip ere cent.

of steel having access to numerous external and internal economies; 10 with a well-disciplined, intelligent and comparatively cheap labour-force; with a cheap overseas freight; with (in some cases) depreciating exchanges; foreign manufacturers were able to import steel into India at rates which left no margin of profit to the Tata Co. Having regard to the current rates of exchange during the whole period from February, 1922, to March, 1923, the c. i. f. prices for Belgian heams and bars in India did not exceed Rs. 114 and Rs. 110 respectively, and those for British beams and bars, Rs. 145 and Rs. 155 respectively." Frequently, the prices were lower. Till April 1st, 1922, a duty of only 21 per cent, was charged on these, while since then the duty was raised to 10 per cent, at which it now stands. Against these prices the Tata Co., put their lowest costs for the period at Rs. 175 and Rs. 195 respectively.12 In 1921-22 their cost of rails was Rs. 16313 and that of hars prohably Rs. 180. Assuming for argument the correctness of these cost figures, they merely prove that profitable production was impossible during the period of exceptional depression immediately following the post-war hoom. They do not indicate that profitable production will be impossible, if and when world steel prices recover

¹⁰ Among external comments suppord by fineign firms and hardly available in India, are ready sale for by-products bke cost try, cheap and easily available supplies of stores of all descriptions notifieding meritine parts, chemicals, februcants and tools, and (frequently) alternative rail routes to markets leaving producers unfettened access to markets at cheap rate of transportation. The internal communities are less wants of raw material, better use in time, less fact convemption and cheaper labour due partly to greater directive for notice and occurred research for memory directions.

¹¹ Fide pp 615 of "Representation to Tariff Board submitted by the Tata Iron & Steet Co." The figures given by at a tile text are only rough approximations, erring however on the safe safe. If have assumed a charge of 15 france per too for freight and insurance for Belgian steel and the following average rates of exchange for the period — e1-70 frances: Bt 15

[:] Fide pp 79 89 of "Representation submitted to Tariff Board by the Tata Co"

^{1.} Fulz p 31 of "Statem at a and Notes," etc The 1921-22 figures are better for comparison because production was less affected by strikes or computiory contraction due to depression

or again, if and when the expected increase in productive efficiency from the installation of the new plant takes effect. Indeed, if we are to accept a statement of the company itself, it would appear that in July, 1923, world steel prices had recovered sufficiently to make it impossible for anyone to get British rails in India for less than Rs. 188 per ton (including 10% duty and landing charges) 14 a price exceeding their 1922-23 cost of production, Since then commercial reports have not been wanting in distinct indications of a revival in the iron and steel trades (the last report of the kind appeared in the Calcutta 'Statesman' dated 8th January, 1924, cabling the review of British trade made by the Industrial correspondent of the London 'Observer'). Whether this revival is likely to be of long duration or not, it is difficult to prophesy definitely, but, having regard to the possibility of recovery in buying power in large areas of the world like Russia and the Near East, and possibly also Germany and Austria, prices are likely to be maintained at a steady level for some time.13 Of course there is also the probability of large additions to the supply of steel, with the resuscitation of production in the Ruhr. But this is so uncertain at present, and the recovery in buying power of Germany which is the necessary concomitant of a resuscitated Ruhr, will be so great, that the chances are these increased supplies will merely prevent prices rushing up to the boom levels of 1920-21, but not depress them below present levels

Pari passu with a recovery in world steel prices, is the confident expectation of diminished costs by the Tata Co. Nor is there any reason for doubting these expectations. The efficient new plant, improvement in quality of coal, a more

Vide para. 3 on p 3 in "Representation submitted to Tail!" Roard by the Tata Co"
 Vide in this connection my articles in the Calcutta "Capital" dated 6th and 13th

¹⁶ Fide pp. 68 and 69 of Oral Evidence by representatives of the Tata Co.

regular railway service, rafadually improving labour, and finally an increase in total production; all these operating in combination are certain to lower the costs of steel per ton from 1925 onwards, and, so far as human foresight can see, there is no factor likely to increase costs in the near future.

We are bound to conclude therefore, that though its share-holders may have suffered loss during the recent years of depression; the Tata Co., is not likely to go into liquidation or the manufacture of steel in India be discontinued for want of external assistance. But whereas the continued existence of the Tata Steel Company in India may be beyond doubt, it is probable that the development of steel manufacture will be expedited by judicious protection.

It transpired in evidence before the Tariff Board that protection would lead to steel manufacture by at least two other firms in India. The Indian Iron and Steel Company would continue with its original plan of establishing a steel plant in India, while the project of the recently established United Steel Corporation of India-in which Messrs. Camell Laird of Great Britain and Bird & Co. of Calcutta are jointly interested-would come to fruition This corporation contemplates the establishment within 5 to 8 years of an up-to-date modern steel plant capable of producing between 650,000 to 720,000 tons of pig from which as much as 450,000 tons of finished steel of various kinds could be got leaving a surplus of pig iron of from 50,000 to 60,000 tons for sale. This large output would however be attained only gradually. At first it is contemplated to establish a small plant only capable of turning out about 60,000 tons of steel rails and leaving a balance of about 12,000 tons of pig iron. With the success of this a larger plant would be installed achieving the desired production. The whole scheme is estimated to require a capital of Rs. 20 crores, a large part of which would be provided

¹⁷ The B N Railway are doubling their line from Tatanagar to the coal fields and ore deposits of the company

by the promoters and the rest would be open to public subscription for Ordinary and Preference Stock. Of this sum about 8 crores is expected to go for the plant and its iostallation while a large amount would probably be needed for acquiring one and coal mines and other raw materials essential for production. This corporation expects a ton of steel rails from the small plant to cost ahout Rs. 125 at the works, while, making every allowance for overhead charges including a reasonable profit, the cost is not expected to exceed Rs. 180 per too.

Of course these estimates suffer from their not being based on the actual experience of ao existing company, but io so far as they represent the data upon which responsible industrialists are willing to siok money in steel maoufacture in India. they are important as providing the upper limit of price beyond which a judicious policy of protectioo need not aim. Indeed, so far as a 'fair' price for steel is concerned, if we concede the entire demand of the Tata Co., as contained in their letter to the Government of India dated 23rd October, 1922,18 it would appear that the company at that date would have heeo satisfied if the price of foreign rails (duty paid) in India did not go helow Rs. 160 per ton. Sioce then there has been very little if any chaoge 16 for the worse in costs of productioo to the company. Also, at that time when the recommendations of the Indian Fiscal Commission had vet to be accepted by the Government and the Indian Legislature and the prospects of protection being granted to steel, were comparatively remote; it was obviously impolitic for the company to claim an excessive amount of protection which hy heing too high and costly to the country, might be deemed impracticable

 $^{^{13}\} Vide\ p.\,16$ (para. 13) of "Representation to the Indian Tariff Board by the Tata Iron & Steel Co

¹⁹ I have ignored the effects of the strike of September 1922 on cost of production, as although it raised the average cost for 1922 23 (by dominishing total production and thus increasing overhead charges per ton) its effects disappeared with the resumption of normal production.

and suggest that the Tata Company was inefficiently managed. Of course less than a year later, in August, 1923, the company still maintained its claims for a 33½ per cent. duty basing it however on the statement that this would enable them to obtain an average price of Rs. 200 per ton during the next five years, 30 at which level they could defy any foreign competition. But such a figure may safely he ignored in an enquiry as to what would be a 'fair' price for the Indian manufacturer, because it represents a maximum desire rather than the minimum that would he necessary to stimulate steel production in India—a minimum for which it might be necessary for the State to contribute out of the taxpayers' or consumers' money.

But, while the prospect of the establishment of new steel works is a strong argument for protection, it would not wholly justify it unless one felt tolerably certain that the industry would after a while be able to do without it. Unfortunately, hitherto the history of protection in every country where it has been applied, in the U. S. A., in Germany, in France, in pre-war Russia, in Canada and in Australia, shows that once adopted it has always been retained for some reason or other, sometimes even when the 'infants' no longer really needed it. Apart from the ostensible reasons advanced for its retention in various places and at various times, the main reason common in all protectionist countries is the advantage derived by the home producers of the protected article from a higher level of prices than might otherwise ohtain. This single all-powerful motive has often succeeded in its objective by a combination of favourable circumstances. It may have found a country ready for high tariffs as the best mode of raising additional revenue urgently required, such as the U. S. A. after the Civil War of 1861-5. Or again, in France and Germany during the last quarter

²⁰ See pp 7 and 8 of "Otal Evidence before the Turuff Bourd by Tuta's representatives."

of the nineteenth century, it may have found favourable material for its object in the phenomena of falling prices, of national jealousies, and an exaggerated love for the ideal of economic self-sufficiency. Whatever the favourable circumstances, however, in none of the protectionist countries concerned, has protection been favoured by those whose pockets were couched, unless it were protection granted to articles of military necessity. In most countries, it has continued owing to an exaggerated idea of its usefulness and great ignorance of the actual way in which it damages the individual. Thus in America, large sections of working men may he found favouring a high Tariff in the erroneous belicf that it means higher real wages to them. And in every country, while there are many who know the particular rate of duty levied on various articles, few take the trouble to realize how much of their aggregate income is taken away through protection, either directly by the State and producers, or indirectly through a diminished volume of employment,

The history of the British general election of 1923 suggests, that in a democratic country where the true meaning of protection is explained and realized by the masses: and where the masses have real power; there will be no difficulty in avoiding its dangers. At first sight, this experience does not seem to be of any value to India with its ignorant and uneducated masses and undemocratic government. Actually however the lesson it teaches is of considerable value. It shows that if the cost of protection is clearly seen by those holding power in India-whether the Indian politician or the British Government as trustees of the masses,-its duration will he strictly limited by the period of its undoubted utility. For the industrial magnates and wage exmers form but a small proportion of those holding power in India, and while their claims to protection will be assured of sympathetic hearing by the present Government and Legislature of India, they will hardly be permitted

permanently to override other and larger interests of the silent masses.

This does not mean that for a limited period, an Indian Legislature will hositate consciously to incur costs of protection perhaps outweighing its immediate advantages. But such a policy would only be adopted on a reasonable expectation of ultimate counteracting advantages, as from the oconomic expansion of a promising industry.

Therefore, the unfortunate experience of other countries regarding protection, does not necessarily warrant the conclusion that protection would not be discarded in India when desired. The growth of interests favouring its continuance will of course be inovitable. But with a well-constituted body of experts like the Tariff Board, and plenty of publicity, and by adopting methods which will leave no doubt as to the relative gains and losses of protection and their incidence on various interests; it should not be impossible for India to drop its protective armour whenever it shall have become unnecessary or inexpedient.

The foregoing discussion leads to the conclusion that no of the canons of the Fiscal Commission disqualifies the steel industry from protection, if one inclines to the view that its development in India requires to be accelerated, in view of its being a 'basic' industry. The extent of protection necessary and the best methods by which it may be given have still to be decided.

CHAPTER V.

HIGH PROTECTION VS 'MARGINAL' PROTECTION.

At the outset of the enquiry as to the extent of protection that should be given to the steel industry two rival policies present themselves for consideration. The one, for convenience called here High Protection, would prefer the grant of a substantial measure of help guaranteed for a fairly continuous period, with the object of encouraging the entry of new capital into the field. The supporters of this policy believe it to be the quickest and, in the long run, the cheapest method of ensuring the development of the steel industry and ultimately of other industries in India. The other policy of what might he termed 'Marginal' Protection favours the grant of just that amount of assistance without which, having regard to all the circumstances of production, it would not be possible for the capital already engaged in the industry and any contemplating entry into it, to obtain the minimum return necessary for continuance in the industry.

Assuming temporarily its practicability, high protection will hardly be able to provide its enthusiastic supporters with the many advantages attributed to it. It is true that by crecting a very bigh tariff wall, foreign imports could be shut out, and domestic prices greatly enhanced. But then, even though two or three other firms attracted by the prospect of large profits, might enter the steel industry, there is always the possibility of combination to restrict supply with a view to maximizing the profits of the producers. The history of monopolies in the U. S. A., in Germany and even Great Britain, shows that the inducements to monopoly are particularly strong in an industry like steel. Nor is this difficult to

understand. The magnitude of the individual firm and its production leaves room for but a few to supply the needs of a country, particularly one like India whose consumption approximates only 11 million tons. Thereby agreement becomes easier than if a large number of producers had to be reconciled. Further, the economics achieved by co-ordinated productionin purchase and sale, in overhead charges-compare strongly with the losses incurred otherwise in severe competition by firms all struggling to expand production in order to increase individual profits, but thereby so reducing prices as to leave no profit to the producer. Besides, a combination while easy to achieve might be very difficult to detect, owing to the many secret ways in which it might be effected, and the many plausible reasons that might be assigned for a rise in price. Thus, while the capacity for steel production would probably be accelerated by high protection, it is hy no means certain that the actual amounts of steel produced in India would be larger than under a different policy.

Again, even if high protection succeeded in creating in the quickest time, a production equal to the internal demand, it is practically certain that the costs of this will not be the most economical. For it is a commonplace that when prices are sufficiently high to yield large profits, managers are not as careful over their items of expenditure, or as keen to discover and adopt new methods as under stress of keen competition. Improvement in efficiency might therefore be actually hindered, even while production expanded, thus tending to perpetuate the need for protection. The experience of the Tata Company's constantly rising costs, would seem to confirm this. For although much of the rise since 1916-17 is attributable to factors beyond the control of the company including inevitable obsolescense of plant, these reasons hardly explain

¹ The cost of steel rails to the T4ta Company is said to have risen from Rs 110 in 1916.17 to Rs 1867, per ton in 1922 23 or, excluding the diminished production due to the strike'in Sept-Oct, 1922, to Rs 175 (1.ds p 31, of "Statements and Notes, etc.")

the whole of it. Careful enquiry will probably confirm the suspicion that during the periods of unexampled prosperity, much expenditure was incurred without careful scrutiny and materials and time used more extravagantly than they would otherwise have been. 3448

Besides even if steel production expanded sufficiently quickly and economically under high protection, it would not necessarily hasten industrial development. For such development, supplies of steel have not merely to be available, but available cheaply. This point was strongly emphasized in the almost unanimous condemnation of a higher tariff for steel by the members of engineering firms of all descriptions,2 who gave evidence before the Tariff Board. These gentlemen were united first, in opposing a raising of the tariff to 331% on steel and, in the event of a higher duty being imposed in spite of their opposition, in demanding increased duties on their products too. Nor can the justice of their demand be denied, or its necessity ignored if a high duty be imposed on the steel. But while this is the case, it is quite certain that in a comparatively poor country like India, expensive steel and steel products would soon curtail the demand and ultimately retard the industrial development of the country. High duties would therefore be inexpedient on this if on no other ground. For there is no alternative method available for giving high protection, since, though it might be possible for the State to find the cost of a small bounty, it is quite impossible for the Indian government with its limited sources of revenue and so many other demands upon it, to give any very large sum of money as a present to the steel industry. Even if the will were there, the capacity would be found wanting.

In addition to these objections to high protection is one directed against its practicability. Much of whatever

These included manufacturers of tinplites, steel castings of numerous kinds, wire and wire nails, tanks, wagons and wagon parts, tocomotives, small steamers, enamelware, structural maternals of all kinds

advantages might accrue from that policy depends not merely upon a substantial amount of protection, but for its continuance being guaranteed over a sufficiently long period. Practically, it might be possible for the Indian Executive to do so. For the Government of India is not yet responsible to the Legislature. But actually, with the newly established constitutional convention granting fiscal autonomy to India the Viceroy is hardly likely to give such a guarantee against any possible opposition of the Assembly. Considering that the life of any assembly is limited to three years, the most that an amenable Legislature could do would be to promise a given amount of protection for this period as it could not presume to bind its successors' legislative freedom for any length of time. Three years is obviously not long enough for the complete installation and organisation of a new plant in India in full working efficiency. This difficulty in the way of stabilizing a given amount of protection is insuperable, and therefore vitiates the assumption of all those benefits imagined from high protection which assumes such stability.

When further, one realizes India's lack of skilled lahour; the long period of time and experience necessary for training a sufficiently large body of such men; their indispensability to any comprehensive industrial progress; it hecomes more than doubtful whether a very 'intensive' development of the steel industry would be beneficial to India.

By contrast, the advantages of a policy of 'marginal' protection though less showy, seem more solid. It would first of all, be less costly to the Indian consumer or taxpayer and thereby more practicable. Then it would ensure as far as it is possible under any measure of protection, that the incentives to improved efficiency he not entirely absent. For it would always be open to the protected firms, by improving their efficiency to increase their profits beyond the minimum assured by protection. The knowledge that any improvement in foreign processes or economy in cost of their factors of

production will reduce even this minimum scale of profits, will be a constant spur to economy and efficiency. Thereby the industry will grow, if more slowly at any rate on substantial foundations. It will become more capable of meeting foreign competition when it occurs, and will not wither away at the first blast of its devastating wind, like the numerous mushroom industrial concerns founded in the boom of 1919-20 in the belief that the high prices of that period would continue for ever.

Compared with these advantages of slight cost and steady progress, any possible delay that might occur in the ultimate production by India of all her steel requirements, is of little consequence. A far more important objection to the policy of marginal protection is directed against its practicability. What is to be the minimum rate of return on capital? In what way would such a rate be assured to the industry? Would it involve complete State management of the industry ultimately with its many drawbacks and defects; or merely a limited degree of State supervision?

While these and similar questions indicate that the difficulties in the way of 'marginal protection' are very real, the labours of the Tariff Board have also shown that they are not insuperable. In the course of its investigation, the Board has acquired materials, which enable, if not a perfect, certainly a tolerably accurate judgment to be formed on these points.

As regards the minimum rate of return on capital in the steel industry, this will naturally be different for the different kinds of stock, ordinary, preference and debenture. Recently, it was given out by the newspapers that the underwriters were saddled with the largest portion of a £ 1,000,000, $7\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. debenture loan floated by the Tata Company for their Hydro-Electric Power Station in the Western Ghats If this be true, it only indicates the inability of this particular portion (quite distinct from the Steel Co.) of the Tata

interests to raise capital cheaply. While it may be admitted that the credit of the Tata name is not as high as it was, the actual rate of vield on debentures which would be sufficient to attract capital into the steel industry when protected. need not be put higher than 7 per cent. Some of the hest debentures of jute mill companies in India yield only 6 per cent.. while the average yield on all jute debentures of companies actually working does not exceed 62 per cent. In the coal and tea industries, the average return is about 7 per cent, which is also the case with the debenture stock of well managed and established cotton mills, even at this period of exceptional depression in the textile trade. Although both Mr. Peterson, and Mr. Tarlton of the United Steel Corporation, think 71 per cent. necessary to attract debenture capital, it is doubtful if in this estimate they have made allowance for the privileged position and improved earning power of the industry that would ensue on the grant of protection.

Again in a protected steel industry, there is no reason to doubt, that preference capital can be obtained on an 8 per cent. basis The last issue of Rs. 7,00,00,000 Preference Stock by the Tata Co. itself was fully subscribed at 7½ per cent., and though the Tata credit is not as good as it was, there is no reason to doubt that with this rate prevailing for going concerns in other industries in India, the steel industry will find it difficult to got the necessary capital. Even if sufficient capital on this basis was not forthcoming in India, there is little doubt that the money would be put up for cumulative preference stock by English capitalists.

In the same way, it would not be difficult to find ordinary capital for protected steel in India on a 10 per cent.

In oral evidence before the Tanif Board (at which I was present) Mr Tarlton of Messrs Bird and Co, Managing Agents of the United Skeel Corporation of India, Ltd, indicated that if the steel industry received protection, they were confident of raning a large amount of preference capital in England on thus basis.

hasis. Of course, it would be advisable for Indian capital to he given the first opportunity of undertaking the risks of manufacture. But if sufficient capital were not forthcoming locally, there is no reason why English capital should not he welcomed. Very likely a large proportion of Indian capital would he forthcoming. For it must be remembered that the yield of 10% which it would he the object of the Tariff Board to assure by its protective measures would be a minimum attainable under conditions of only ordinary trade. This would very frequently be exceeded in the periods of hrisk trade and hooms, which so often characterize the steel trades all over the world.

Having arrived at the proper rates of return on various kinds of capital, before we can arrive at the amount necessary for the company to earn annually, some agreement as to the amount of capital to be reckoned, must he arrived at. The simplest and most straightforward plan is to take the actual amounts of capital subscribed and reserves accumulated irrespective of any premiums paid at the time. (For although substantial premiums were paid by subscribers to the second issue of ordinary and deferred stock, this was in the expectation that the high profits of boom years would be perpetuated. In common then with other subscribers in excessively high-priced stock during the 'hoom' of 1919-20, they might well be called on to hear the loss necessary for writing down the capital to its nominal value. The more so, as the premia went to pay for machinery, etc., which if taken at actual cost at the time of

[•] In oral evidence after first claiming this return, Mr Peterson of Tata's wanted an average assured return of 15 per cent on all original fixed capital put in the industry that, 10 per cent would be considered sufficient for the industry (wide pp. 81 and 94 in "Oral Evidence, etc.").

^a Mr Paterson while erdence pet forward the claim that sums expended from the descention fund in repairs and renormals about be regarded equally with original capital expenditors as entitled to carra a return. This caum though long argued was ultimately abandoned when its preposterous nation became evident (tide p 94 of "Oral Eridence, etc.").

purchase would appear greatly over-valued in comparison with present-day prices. The company's reserves are too small to be of any account.) On this principle, the capital of the Tata Company which would be required to earn would he 6 crores of debentures, needing an annual service of 42 lakhs, 73 crores of preference stock requiring 57 lakhs, about 262 lakhs of ordinary and 141 lakhs of deferred shares, on which an anproximate return of 28 lakhs would be necessary.7 In addition, if the detailed figures before the Tariff Board conclusively proved the necessity for it, further provision for interest on borrowed working capital in the shape of cash credits and deposits placed with the company might he made. The maximum limit of such additional working capital should not he allowed to exceed two crores however, and the average rate of interest on it, should harely exceed 6%, in view of all the circumstances.8

But the whole of the above provision for interest and profits amounting to 139 lakhs annually will not be a charge

- As the existing preference stock of the company was fully subscribed at paron a lower basis than 8% there is no rea on why they should expect this return now
- "By their studies of association, the deferred shareholders of the Tait. Iron & Stell Co., occupy a very favoured position. For, after provision for depressation, and interest on debetture, and preference stock, the bulk of the perdits, after the ordinary shares get a moderate return, goes to the deferred shareholders, who, in vitues of their small proportion of bolding relative to ordinary shareholders, consequently get phenomenal returns on the nominal value of their shares in times of prosperity, while the more numerous ordinary shareholders get a small return. In estimating the necessary profits the company must care on their capital, passive to the state and consumer of steel precludes the recognition of this arrangement between ordinary and deferred shareholders, and requires that these be treated on a pay for dividend purposes.
- "Although the prevent total uses of debendures a considerably less, we accept the company's statement that these will amount to 6 crores by the time the settement are full operation. We cannot however concert with their suggestion that in addition to this and capital subscribed a working capital of 5 crores would be sequend for the complete plant, as if concerted, the company's capitalization per too of product would be very much in excess of that for similar plants is other countries. Again Mr. Feterson's procurson of 17% for interest charges on each recults and shoot term loss in for working capital must be contested, as the average rate of interest of the langerial Bank for the half year ended 31st December, 1923, was only 41% and has rarely exceeded 5% for the last few years, and it is not unusual for first class firms live Tuta's to borrow for short terms at 1% higher than Rank rate.

solely on Tata's steel manufacture. The total capital of the company is ntilised in ways some of which are quite independent of the steel manufacture, while of the rest, some must he regarded as benefiting it only partially, while the remainder only is solely devoted to it.

In the first category comes such assets as Government securities and stocks and shares held by the company. Capital invested in this way clearly ought to be evoluded from the reckoning of amounts on which earnings have to be provided by the steel manufacture. Although the average yield on Government securities is well below 10%, and some of the companies like the Tinplate Co., Agricultural Implements Co., and Enamelled Ironware Co. (large portions of whose stock are held by the Tata Company) are not likely to be dividend-paying concerns, for some time, considering the future possibilities of the latter and the fact that the Company willingly chose to put aside a portion of their capital in these securities, it is only fair that this portion should he deducted from the ordinary capital of the company, in estimating the amount of carnings chargeable against steel.

Again, as the company sell a large quantity of pig iron, it is only fair that this process of manufacture should be required to contribute a portion towards the necessary earnings. As however by far the largest quantity of pig will in future be turned into steel, it would not be possible to exclude any portion of capital as being not utilised for steel in any way. The fairest method of allocating the amount of return that should be contributed from pig, would be in proportion to the respective quantities and works costs of pig and steel respectively produced and sold per annum. Thus for example if 100,000 tons of pig were sold annually, and the works cost

The 1921 22 balance sheet should a bulding of 42 lakks in snares excluding Government securities. Adding 37 Lakks held in the three subsidiary companies mentioned above, and allowing for Government securities, about a crose of supees capable of returning 10 lakks should be roughly excluded.

were Rs. 40, while the remainder was converted into 400,000 tors of steel at an average works cost of Rs. 120 per ton, then (assuming there were no other processes capable of bringing a pecuniary return) the proportion of total necessary earnings which would be chargeable against pig and steel respectively would be 100×40: 400×120, i.e., 1: 12, or 4 and 45.

It is possible that the coal mines owned by the company would be capable of earning a return independently of the necessity of providing the steel works with fuel. At present, however, in view of the paucity of good coking coal, it would be advisable for the Tariff Board to forbid any sales of such coal to outside concerns, as a condition of any protection. Normally such a condition would hardly seem necessary, but should the price of coal again reach the heights attained in 1920 and 1921, it is conceivable that the company would find it more profitable to sell their coal in the open market and even curtail their production of steel (thereby incidentally increasing costs per ton). To avoid this anomaly, it would be better to regard the company's coal properties as an integral part of their steel works (so long as they continued manufacturing steel) and, while the coal used in steel should be charged at cost, the depreciation and the interest on the capital expended on coal would be a charge against steel. Should, at any future time, the coal resources of the country suitable for metallurgical purposes, be found quite ample, then it would be open for the Tariff Board to remove the embargo on the sale of coal to outside concerns, and the coal properties could be required to contribute their proportion towards the total necessary earnings in the same way as pig.

Further, in arriving at the amount of return which must be earned by the steel manufacture alone, the net receipts or profits from the sale of by-products like sulphate of ammonia and coal tar would have to be deducted. Unfortunately, the prices of these by-products vary greatly under present conditions, while their total quantity is not very large. With a larger annual production of steel, large quantities of coal tar¹⁰ and ammonia will be available, and, provided the chemical industry in India expands part passu, the receipts from byproducts would be very substantial indeed.

In a similar way, though at present the land and buildings and markets owned by the company bring in a return unable to meet the necessary provision on capital expenditure calculated on the lowest basis (i.e., the rate earned by debentures 7%) the establishment of new industries and increase of population in and around Jamshedpur likely to ensue from a policy of protection holds out the promise of at least a 10% return on the expenditure incurred on them." Thus the amount of earnings to be chargeable against steel alone in the future should be still further reduced. Finally, it follows as a corollary from the above principles that while the company's statement as to past original capital expenditure needs careful investigation before acceptance, in future all capital expenditure for improvements or extensions should be subject to the scrutiny of the Tariff Board, in order to ensure that the projected plant is desirable in the country's interests. and that it is being procured at the cheapest possible price, due regard being given to quality.

At the present time, bowever, not much relief is likely to be experienced by steel from earnings through surplus receipts from the sale of by-products, from rents of land and huildings, and other indirect sources of revenue. At most, a rough approximation in the absence of definite information to hand, would put the highest prohable revenue from these sources at 4 lakhs annually. This would leave an annual sum

¹⁰ Unfortunately, there is not much demand for these by-products under present conditions to India, and proces are therefore very low. So much so, that I am informed that the company is oring cont far as fuel wherever possible, thereby economising their consumption of coal.

^{1.1} It is a common feature of modern times for large towns to grow up around mocessful centres of large scale industry, such as the Jamshedpur of the future is certain to become The resulting economes increase in the value of sites ourced by the company,—who have practically created the town—is an important source of potential profit which must be reclosed an as-estimate of all possible sources of revenue to the company.

of approximately 125 lakls, to be provided by profits from pig and steel to pay interest on borrowed working capital and the agreed minimum return on all subscribed capital including debentures.

Against the principles of protection outlined above, the objection is frequently made that their execution involves too great an interference by the state in the processes of trade. That an enterprize should be required to disclose the details of all its operations,-all its expenditure and receipts together with their sources :- and not only this, but submit even, in some cases, to outside dictation as to what expenditure might be incurred and where-is represented as a disastrous interference with that freedom of trade which is the basis of all healthy industrial development. The supporters of this objection, however, forget that abstract freedom of trade has already heen discarded by an industry when it demands protection. It must therefore be prepared as a condition of that protection, to submit to any supervision necessary in the national interest. Nor, as is sometimes argued, would the grant of protection by enhanced import duties, eliminate the necessity of such supervision. For, if the industry is at some date to become self-supporting, as required by the Fiscal Commission, future enquiries as to its condition will have to be made from time to time. In a continuous but not too rigid supervision of protected industries, is the best guarantee of the correctness of the materials that will be forthcoming to form a proper judgment in future enquiries.

Having decided upon the correct principle upon which any gnant of protection should be administered, it will be the object of the next chapter, after a cursory survey of the costs of steel production here and in foreign centres, to determine the extent of protection necessary, under a policy of marginal protection.

¹³ Even if no allowance were made for examogs from stocks and shares hold by the company, and from product, etc., the solid amoust on account of interest and profils that could be chargeoide against by an add neich would not exceed 18: 195 inkin annually. This would mean an increase of approximately Rs 3.5 in the average cost per ton of rolled steel as estimated in the sext chapter.

CHAPTER VI.

THE COMPARATIVE COSTS OF SPECL PRODUCTION.

In Chapter IV, certain figures were mentioned indicatiog the ideas of a 'fair' price for his product held by the Indian steel manufacturer. It was there shown that estimates of the recently-registered United Steel Corporation of India regarded Rs. 180 per ton as a 'fair' price for its products, when it shall have started manufacture. Again, an extract from the Tata Company's original letter to the Government of India claiming Protection, proved that in October, 1922, the company were evidently satisfied with the hope of obtaining an average price of Rs. 160 per ton for all its steel products. Finally, might be adduced a statement made so recently as December 1923, in which Messrs. Peterson and Tutwiler for the company suggested that if they could get a price of Rs. 173 per ton for all their output no protection would be necessary. These figures, varying as they do, and indicating at best, merely the upper limit of price to which a protective policy might have to be directed, do not obviate the necessity of a more detailed examination of costs of production in India and elsewhere. For a scientific application of the policy of 'marginal protection 'outlined in the previous chapter, such au enquiry is essential.

We append therefore a comparative table of costs per ton of rolled steel, submitted by the Tata Iron and Steel Co., to the Tariff Board, for the years 1916-17, 1921-22 and 1922-23 respectively;—

Cost of Rolling Mill in Rupees per ton of Finished Product.

ltems.	1916-17	1921.22	1922-2
Pig and Scrap	29 46	47 60	55 62
Feeding Material	5 79	6 44	475
Labour	13-99	17 93	17:00
Stores	633	8 20	761
Refractories	1 36	3.91	3 20
Ingot Monlds	1:36	1 64	1 37
Relining Fund	7 03	9 83	B 96
Gas Producers	5 51	10 78	13 52
Service Expense	8 20	14 4	16 65
Steam	2 42	5.49	7.86
Rolls	2 31	2 29	2 52
Interest	271	12 24	17 02
Depreciation and Bombay charges	23 55	22 70	30 27
Total	110.04	163 19	186 73

In the above statement, we are told, credit has been allowed for scrap at the rate of Rs. 20 per ton, and that for second class rails (presumably stuff not up to standard and therefore rejected and disposed of at low rates in the Bazar) has been distributed over all items

We should further remember one or two facts of general interest relevant to the above statement. In it are summarized the total costs of three distinct processes, that of converting pig into steel ingots, ingots into blooms and billets and blooms into rolled steel. Most of the items under which the costs are distributed occur in each process of manufacture, and a detailed distribution according to process is given for the year 1922, on pages 77-79 of the Representation submitted to the Indian Tariff Board by the Tata Iron and Steel Company.

But, unfortunately, the figures for 1922-23 are hardly normal as they reflect the effects of the prolonged strike at the company's works during September and October, 1922-23. A fairer index to present costs is afforded, at any rate for many items, by the figures for 1921-22. And indeed, except for items Pig and Scrap, and those following Reliuing Fund in the statement, the costs in 1921-22 were higher per ton than in 1922-23.

Coming to the items, seriatim, we find that the charge for Pig Iron in the years 1921-22 and 1922-23 shows a very large increase indeed. This has been explained by attributing it to increases in the cost of coal, labour and limestone and also an increase in the percentage of pig used for making steel. This last factor bids fair to be eliminated with the growing adoption of methods of making steel direct from the molten metal in the blast furnaces. The main cause of the increase however is coal, which during the short-lived boom of 1921-22 not only increased in price but seems to have deteriorated in quality also. The main reason for this was the shortage in wagons and lack of sufficient railway facilities between the coal fields and consuming centres. As a result of this, consumers were willing to pay abnormally high prices to those who guaranteed to supply both the coal and the transportation and deliver the goods to time at the factory. while suppliers knowing their advantage did not care to be very particular as to quality. To avoid shortage due to irregular deliveries, the Tata Company were compelled to lay by a large stock and thereby increased handling charges by two breakages of bulk (in stock and out of stock) instead of having the coal come right up to the furnaces by rail from the collieries. In order to build up their stock, they were led to enter into large contracts with suppliers at bigh rates in 1920 and 1921, while their dependence on the rate established in the contracts of the Railway Board on the advice of the Mining Engineer, has forced them to pay at any rate during 1922-25

an artificial price for coal. Indeed, so artificial is this price that whereas coal prices have been declining since the latter part of 1922, the company's average costs of coal have risen from Rs. 3-S a ton in 1916-17 to Rs. S in 1921-22 and Rs. S-15 in 1922-23, the latter notwithstanding the fact that nearly 40% of their consumption of coal was provided by the company's own collieries at an average raising cost plus freight of Rs. 7-5 per ton. Omitting for a moment the question whether Rs. 6 is not too high an average raising cost 1 (the railway freight is Rs. 1-3) the fact that by 1925 at any rate. the Railway Board's, and ipso facto the company's prices for coal must come down to market level, while an increasing proportion of coal (almost 60%) will come from the company's own collieries, makes an estimate of about Rs. 2 per ton economy in the company's costs of coal in 1925 quite reasonable. Assuming such an economy, one is entitled to expect a reduction in the item of Pig Iron and Scrap even below the figure for 1921-22. For, when asked to explain the difference of about Rs 8 per ton between the figures of 1921-22 and 1922-23, Mr. Tutwiler attributed it to the price of coal which on his own showing did not increase by more than Rs. 1.4 per ton at most in the interval.2 But it is not only on this statement that we shall base our estimate of a lower charge for pig and scrap.

The cost of labour per ton of pig rose from nearly Rs. 1-10 per ton in 1916-17 to Rs. 2-12 per ton in 1921-22. The company themselves expect a large reduction in these costs with the increases of their total production from blast furnaces to 700,000 tons annually. Indeed, they expect this to go even helow the figure for 1916-17 to Rs. 1-4 per ton. 3

¹ Earning cost excludes depreciation and interest on capital invested in coal inner. As depreciation will be included in the general depreciation find and profits extendited also on that part of capital invested is coal inner, it is only fair that the cost of coal taken here should be the raising cost as above defined.

^{*} See pp 51 52 of "Oral Evidence, etc."

[.] Fids p 14 of " Statements and Notes, etc "

As against this, we must allow for the fact that limestone which rose in cost from Rs. 6 to Rs. 6.9 per ton ' is not likely to afford much room for future economy, owing to the greatly increased demand for it consequent on increased production, and the increasing distances from which it will have to be brought. Even here though, the future is hright. For with increasing railway facilities and regular deliveries of limestone, greater care can be taken in selection of quality and a smaller quantity employed in production with the same results as hefore.

Resides, there seems to be still further room for economy in the raising cost of Rs. 6 per ton which the company incurs for mining its own coal. Inquiries among coal-owners have elicited the fact that the raising cost, i. e., of paying labour to mine coal and load wagons, together with royalties to the proprietors, range from anything between Rs. 3.4 to Rs. 5-8 per ton for the least efficient collieries. Ohviously therefore, there is room for further economy in the company's costs which, in view also of their intention to employ electrical coaloutting machinery and other up-to-date devices in the near future, should be brought down to at most Rs. 4-8 per ton. Allowing for increase in depreciation charges and interest on capital from the greater employment of machinery, the company should not find it impossible to raise coal at a cost within Rs. 5-4 per ton at most. These estimates allow for the present uneconomic working of labour in the mines-their irregularity. their tendency to work less and turn out less as a result of the increased wages obtained in 1921, and the possibility of trouble due to the introduction of regulations forbidding the working of women underground.5

[.] Vide p. 67 of " Oral Evidence, elc "

In oridence before the Tariff Board, Mr. Whatworth, the present Musing Engineer to the Railway Board, and he exticipated trouble from the men who were so accustomed to work with their womenfolk that they would probably refuse to go down the mines altogether, were the latter published (by legislation moder discussion) from going down with them.

Altogether then, an allowance of Rs. 40 for Pig and Scrap for the year 1925-26 does not seem unreasonable particularly as this figure, unlike the one given in the company's statement above, is not intended to include any charge for interest, depreciation or Bomhay Office expenses (vide p. 71 of "Oral Evidence, etc.").

The next item, Feeding Material, shows a large reduction from 6:44 to 4:15 rupees per ton of finished steel. This has been attributed partly to an improvement in the quality of dolomite and limestone '; and, unless transport is again disorganized, there is no reason why this improvement should not he maintained. Allowing also for the fact that an increased average production per furnace should result under a protective regime, the figure for Feeding Materials can safely he put at Rs. 4.

Perhaps the largest (proportionately) though most uncertain economy in production might be expected, however, under the item Labour. Since 1916-17, the increase in this item has been due to a number of factors such as increased wages, and lower production per man due partly to strikes and trade depression and partly to the need for greater accuracy in manufacture through the narrowing down of the range of specifications demanded since the war.' In addition, the company have for the last two years or so been training men additional to the numbers required for their past production with a view to operating the new units of plant. The result, therefore, is that, when the enhanced production from the new plant accrues, there will be very little total addition to labour charges and a considerable fall in the cost per ton. Unlessthere, unforeseen strikes occur resulting in large further increases

^{••} I have since learnt that Rs 40 is far too excessive an allowance and that, on the basis reckoned above, a more accurate estimate would be fix 30. This gives in ample margin on the safe aide and ensures that, at any rate our final estimate cumoi be regarded as too unfair to Messrs. That Iron Steel Cc. Ltd.

[.] I'ide p 53 and p 68 of ' Oral Evidence etc "

⁷ Fide pp 55-56 of "Oral Evidence, etc." particularly semarks by Mr Mather et seq.

of pay to thel abourer (an improbable contingency after the lesson the strikers received in October, 1922), and in view of the adoption of many labour-saving devices in the new plant and the expectation that the output from rolling smills will he more than doubled, the item for labour in 1925-26 should not exceed Rs. 10.

Stores rose from Rs. 6.33 per ton in 1916-17 to Rs. 8.2 and Rs. 7.61 in 1921-22 and 1922-23. This increase has been due almost entirely to the enormous rise in prices during the war and post-war boom. Already in 1922-23 the effect of falling prices hegan to he reflected, and the economy would probably have been a little more but for slight increases in the duty on certain tools and lubricants since 1916-17. Since 1922 however prices of stores have fallen. Considering, therefore, that in 1916-17, prices had already risen substantially over pre-war figures, and there seems no reason now why (except for customs duties) prices for stores should not tend back to that level, while the incidence of cost is bound to be less on a larger production, an estimate under stores equivalent to the 1916-17 figure of Rs. 6.33 per ton seems very liberal. Very likely stores in 1925-26 will cost much less.

For Refractories, an estimate of about Rs. 3 per ton seems ample in view of the improved production per furnace expected in 1925-26. The figure would probably be still less, if one were certain of a drop in the price of English pig iron as the price paid by the company varies with the latter.

Passing by the item Ingot Moulds, for which we might assume the figures for 1922-23, i.e., Rs. 137, we come to Relining Fund.

The allocation for this item is based upon the actual cost of furnace repairs in the preceding twelve months. This itself depends upon the price of materials, as well as the tonnage produced. There is no reason for anticipating a still further rise in the price of materials. Indeed, some have already

come down while the quality of materials has improved. Besides, the increase in tonnage expected in 1925-26 is certain to reduce the allocation per ton under this head, so that a provision of approximately Rs. 7 per ton (about the 1916-17 figure) should be ample.

Taking together the two items "Gas Producers and Steam," we find an enormous increase in the aggregate from Rs 793 in 196-17, to Rs. 16-27 and Rs. 21-35 in 1921-22 and 1922-23. Mainly due to increased price and deteriorated quality of coal, these items should yield substantial economies in the future. The quality of coal has already improved, and there is good ground for expecting a large fall in the price paid by the company in 1925-26. Besides, with the increased use of gas necessitated by the enhanced production, the cost per cubic foot of gas must fall and hence also that per ton of steel. Similar considerations apply to the item "Steam." Unless, therefore, the expectations as to the cost of coal in 1925-26 remain unfulfilled, the charge for these two items in the 1925-26 cost statement should be well within Rs. 9 or 10.

As regards Service Expenses, this item includes such general charges as cost of laboratory, General Manager's establishment, electric lighting and installation in works (all town expenditure on this and other items is excluded) leave pay, and Contingent Fund. The total sum allocated to this head for all processes up to and including the rolling mill, increased from about Rs. 5.60,000 in 1916-17 to Rs. 13,60,000 in 1921-22 and Rs. 13.44.000 in 1922-23. The tonnage rates increased meanwhile from Rs. 8.2 to Rs. 14.14 and Rs. 16.65. While the laboratory and electric lighting expenditure may he expected to increase with the expansion of the works, there is no reason to anticipate any increase in the General Manager's establishment or Contingent Fund. Leave pay, on the other hand, with the growing substitution of Indian for non-Indian supervision, should decrease, or at most show little signs of enhancement. If therefore we allow for an

increase in total allocation to Rs. 16,00.000 ample provision will have been made for the needs under this head of the expanded works. On the other hand, reckoning an output of only 200,000 tons from the rolling mills, the cost per ton should not exceed Rs. S.

Granting an allowance of Rs. 2.31 (the 1916-17 figure which is slightly larger than that for 1921-22) for Rolls, we now proceed to form an estimate of the fair charge to be made for Depreciation.

Unfortunately, no authentic figure of the exact amount of fixed capital invested in machinery, plant, huildings and services necessary for the operations of the Company, is available. In the balance sheets submitted to the Tariff Board, the Company have increased their liabilities by the inclusion of depreciation fund and premium on deferred shares, thereby showing a similar increase in assets under the head of capital expenditure. Ordinarily, the premium on deferred shares is entitled to be considered as enhancing the assets, but when one remembers the enormous inflation in the actual cost (as compared with the estimates) of the machinery and plant of the 'greater extensions,' due to the fall in exchange in 1920-21, justice requires that the actual cost of these extensions be written down by the extent of the premium at which the second issue of ordinary and deferred shares were issued in that period of inflated prices and expectations of profit. Further, there seems little doubt that the amount of expenditure on repairs and renewals from the depreciation fund should be deducted from the company's estimates of their fixed capital in order to arrive at the real amount of original capital invested in fixtures. It would appear from the evidence that the company valued their fixed capital expenditure on their original plant at 5.82 erores and 10.57 crores on the 'greater extensions' on March 31st, 1922. Since then little

^{*} Fide p 81, lines 2 and 3 of "Oral Evidence, etc."

additional expenditure on the latter account can have been incurred. Accepting these figures as a very rough working basis, and not allowing for deductions therefrom for expenditure on land and town buildings 10 (which by the general enhancement of property values in and around Jamsbedpur will appreciate rather than depreciate); purchase price for collieries and ore mines and quarries " (which by their long life of 300 years or more would require an infinitesimal proportion of their original cost to he laid aside to cover depreciation) we have, after deducting on account of depreciation fund a sum of Rs. 342 lakhs,12 a remainder of 12 97 or about 13 crores. On this sum, an allowance for depreciation in proportion to that made by the Income Tax Collector on the block in 1922-23,13 will come to about 91 lakhs. Assuming then a production of 100,000 tons of pig and 350,000 tons of steel only in 1925-26, the amount of depreciation debited to steel will be about \$41 lakhs or, roughly Rs. 24 per ton.14

There remain for consideration only Interest, Profits and Managing Agents' Commission. In the last chapter, an estimate of 125 lakhs was provisionally made on account of Interest and Profits only. If in addition, we take R. 10 lakhs to cover Bombay Office expenses, and Managing Agents' Commission. We get Rs. 135 lakhs to be further allocated

¹⁰ On page 41 of "Statements and Notes, etc.," an estimate of value of buildings exclusive of land is over Ra 83 lakks. The Company awas about 24 sqr miles of land.

¹¹ Vide p 43 of "Statements and Notes, etc" for purchase price of some collieries (693 laklis) Unfortunately no Squires are available for ore mines and queries.

¹¹ This figure includes the total depreciation fund on March 31st, 1922 (297 lakhs) plus
45 lakhs made as provision on that account in the costing account of 1922 23

¹⁸ Fide p 61 of "Oral Evidence, etc."

¹⁴ Taking Rs 30 and Rs 95 approximately as the works cost of pig and steel respectively as estimated in this chapter, we get the proportion of depreciation to be distributed between pig and steel to be as 30 × 100 95 × 350, · e, as 12 133 This gives a debt of something over Rs 94 labbs against steel which course to a little over Rs 24 per too.

¹² This figure is obtained by allowing Rs 20,000 per month or about 2½ lakhs a year for the actual cost of the necessary office in Bombay (und directors' feet) for the steel company's work, and a commission of 5 per cent on a net profit (after paying depreciation, ric) of not more than 150 lakhs annually

between pig iron and steel before we can arrive at the final cost of the latter. Proceeding as before, we obtain a charge not greatly exceeding Rs. 35 per ton to be made against steel on account of Interest, Profits and Managing Agents' Commission. Of this a little over Rs. 3 is due to interest.

Recapitulating, the following statement indicates our estimate of the fair price at which rolled steel must be sold in the country in 1925-26 to give a reasonable profit:

Item	Per ton charge based on a total of 350,000 tous
N	Re
Pig aud Scrap .	40
Feeding Materials .	4
Labour	10
Stores .	6:31
Refractories	a
Ingot Moulds	1 97
Reliuing Fuud	7
Oas Producers sud Steam	10
Service Expenses .	8
Rolls	2 31
Interest	3 14
Depreciation	24
Minimum Profits and Managing Agents' Commission	32.26
Total	Rs. 1515

The "fair" price of slightly over Rs. 150 per ton is however based on a number of assumptions, the most important of which are a fall in the company's costs for coal and a trebling of the 1922-23 output, neither of which however are likely to be realized in 1924-25. For that year, therefore, the company's cost of producing steel must be higher. Measures therefore,

that might be appropriate for 1925-26, will have to be supplemented by additional assistance to the company in 1924-25.

The exact extent of the necessary assistance will of course depend greatly on the prices of foreign steel. Curious to say these vary widely according to the source of supply. The three principal countries which between them supply more than 3 of India's imports of Iron and Steel and Railway Materials are Great Britain, Belgium and Germany in order of importance. Of these by far the largest individual share is provided by Great Britain. According to the "Iron and Coal Trades" Review, the price of English beams and rails c.i.f. Calcutta was as high as Rs. 151/14 on July 20th. 1923.18 This price may be assumed to be unusually high, however, owing to the absence of competition from the continent at that time due to political reasons. It was recorded in evidence by the Agent of the Great Indian Peninsular Railway that the cost to his company including 10 per cent. duty of their most recent purchase of English rails was Rs. 148-8 which gives a figure of Rs. 135 or £11 per ton o.i.f. Bombay. There is very little difference, if any, in freight charges from Great Britain to Bomhay and Calcutta, so that the figure of Rs. 135 per ton may be taken as the lowest " under present conditions of manufacture in Great Britain at which English rails can be landed in India without paying duty. That this figure is unlikely to be undercut will appear from the fact that at no time during the worst of the depression in the trade during the whole of 1922 and part of 1923 did the price go below this. 18 To-day the price is appreciably higher, so that by taking a minimum of Rs. 135 for English steel, no injustice will be done to the local industry.

¹⁴ Fide p 25 of "Statements and Notes, etc "

¹⁷ The Bengal Nagpur Railway claim to have bought a parcel of rails at Ra 132 per ton in July 1922

It would appear however that steel rails and joists from Belgium and Germany are imported at a price appreciably lower. From my own experience, in 1921, Belgian joists were obtainable at a price from Rs. 2 to Rs. 3 per cwt. less than British in the Calcutta market. A part of the premium ou British stock may be due to the common helief in the superiority of the product. But there can be no doubt that the largest portion of the difference is due to the lower price at which Belgian steel can be imported. Mr. Peterson in his oral evidence hefore the Tariff Board in August 1923, instanced a parcel of steel joists coming in by the SS. Marienfels 19 invoiced at £7-7 per ton c.i.f. Calcutta. Without necessarily accepting this figure as typical (as the shipment occurred when both marks and Belgian francs were rapidly depreciating) it must be admitted that an examination of the average quotations for Belgian beams and bars during 1922 and part of 1923 shows that it does not overdraw the picture. For, the price at which Belgian beams could be imported was as low as £6-7-6 20 c.i.f. Bombay or Calcutta at a time when corresponding British prices were £9-7-6.21 It is sometimes claimed that as long as Continental exchanges are depreciated, this difference between British and Continental prices of about 60 sh. per ton must always exist. Such a claim however scarcely bears examination. For it must be clear that unless an actual permanent fall is to occur in real wages and prices of raw materials in a country with depreciated exchanges, the cost of these items in the currency of that country must rise and hence increase the price of the commedity pari passu with the depreciated exchanges. Of course it is admitted that while the pro-

¹⁰ Fide p 26 of "Oral Evalence, etc "

[&]quot;o Taking the price of 375 francs on p 55 of "Representation submitted by the Tuta Co. etc." during April June 1922, and converting at £1=70 francs and adding 20 sb. for freight and nournance, we obtrue the above approximate figure

¹¹ Vide p 64 of "Representation aubmitted, etc." and compare the British prices for April-Jone

cess of depreciation is in active progress, wages and prices of raw materials are likely to lag behind and there may be scope for so-called 'dimping' by taking temporary advantage of a favourable exchange. Unfortunately, this is what has happened ever since the last quarter of 1921 when the German mark first commenced its rapid downward career, dragging in its wake at a slower and more fitful pace the exchanges of Belgium and France. But while it is permitted to hope that the largest portion of the difference between British and Belgium import prices may be eliminated by the stabilizing of Continental exchanges in the near future, we must recognize that causes exist likely to perpetuate a certain difference for some time.

Apart from the fact that the cost of German and Belgian labour per ton is appreciably lower than British (reckoning in uniform currency) due to the prevalence of a lower standard of life in those countries, it is established that the Belgian government have lowered freights on the transport of Lorraine ore to Liege and Charleroi by an amount equivalent to a transportation bounty of 30 francs per ton of pig. Further, with the renewal of reparations deliveries in kind there will be a great incentive to Belgium and France to find overseas markets for these goods by cutting prices. There is also a difference in favour of Antwerp as against British ports of ahout 5 sh. a ton in overseas freight to India.

These considerations seem to justify the opinion that for a long time at any rate, there is likely to be a difference between the costs of British and Continental steel imported into India of at least £I or Rs. 15 per ton, while this may be enhanced in periods of depreciating exchanges or by the 'dumping' of reparations deliveries in kind. In this factor, lies India's opportunity, if she is effectively to protect herself against foreign competition, to do so while yet granting a measure of preference to British steel which would go far

THE COMPARATIVE COSTS OF STEEL PRODUCTION 73

in some quarters to remove the hellef in the existence of a deep-rooted anti-British bias in the Indian mind.

The exact nature of the policy of protection advocated, will form the subject of the following chapter.

CHAPTER VII.

METHODS AND EXTENT OF PROTECTION.

It will have appeared from the preceding chapters, that the problem of assisting the Indian Steel Industry is complicated through the existence of two different sets of conditions. Any solution applicable to one set of conditions is not likely to be the hest for the other.

What may be termed as the more normal conditions, in view of their probable longer life, are not likely to come into existence before the fiscal year 1925-26. In that period, it is reasonable to expect that the production of the Tata Iron and Steel Company will have expanded and the cost of various raw materials especially coal fallen, as well as other minor economies become available to a degree enabling the company to sell its steel at a base price not exceeding Rs. 152 per ton and yet pay a dividend of 10 per cent. on all its ordinary and deferred capital.

But before that condition of things can be reached, it will be necessary for them in the current year and 1921-25 to pass through a period of transition during which the organization of the work of the greater extensions will be completed and production gradually expand, while costs must remain appreciably above Rs. 152 per ton. Presumably then, whatever he the nature of the 'marginal' protection suitable for 1925-26, it will be necessary to supplement this for 1924-25 with something clse.

It will be interesting therefore to discuss the methods of protection suitable to Indian conditions with a view to discovering the best for the steel industry. It has been suggested by the Importers Association of Calcutta that the case of the Tata Company might be met by Government purchase of output at a fair ' price. While the patronage of the Government should always be extended to the company whenever possible, this in itself will hardly be sufficient to absorb the whole of the Company's increased output. For, the annual requirements of rails by the State Railways was estimated at only 100,000 tons for the next five years. And of this chief item in Government demand, a large part is purchaseable by other authorities, i.e., Companies managing certain lines owned principally by the State. These authorities, to judge from Mr. Peterson's complaint to the Tariff Board in his supplementary evidence, are sometimes too eager to place orders in Great Britain to give the Indian manufacturer a fair trial.

Besides the Government railways and ordnance factories, a large part of the consumption of steel is provided by semipublic bodies like port trusts and municipalities while engineering firms, builders, and industries like tea, ocalmining, cotton and jute account for the hulk of the remainder. A substantial measure of assistance, in this connection, will however be afforded, if Government agree to withdraw the concession now enjoyed by stores imported direct by them and by the company-managed railways of entering the country duty-free.

Apart from this, however, not much assistance can be expected from reductions in railway freights. It has already been seen that for the bulk of their railway freight, the Tata Company enjoy a substantial rebate from the Bengal-Nagpur Railway for which they give a sort of quid pro quo in the form of cheap rails. On balance, however, the advantage probably lies with the steel company. However this be, in view of the loss at which most Indian railways have been run during the

¹ Since this was first written. all goods imported whether by Government or public bodies like Railways, have been subjected to the legal rates of duty

last three or four years, and the Iuchcape Committee's recommendation that they be required to earn a minimum of at least 5½% on capital invested, little assistance can be expected in the form of substantial rate reductions.

As regards merely a state guarantee of minimum interest on the capital subscribed by the Steel Company, this mathod of assistance, however suitable to a "routine" industry like railways where there is practically no competition and little variation in total costs of production in normal times, is obviously unsuited to a highly technical industry like steel in which competition is keen, demand varying and there is ample room for variations in costs of production.

There remain for consideration the two classic modes of protection recognised by economists-bounties and the method of protective import duties. Between protective import duties and bounties, the choice is difficult. Both methods have advantages and disadvantages, the balance between which is hard to strike. One advantage of import duties is that they will be easy to collect with hardly any extra expenditure by means of the fairly efficient customs service already existing. Iu a country like Iudia where any form of governmental supervision costs so much relative to the average wealth of its inhabitants, this is no small advantage. But by far the greatest advantage, is the fact that protective import duties for some years to come, may be relied on to increase the somewhat exiguous revenues of the Government of India. The annual deficits since 1918-192 have imposed upon the country a burden of taxation which in many competent quarters, is regarded as having reached the limit of the people's ability to pay. A clamour was raised to reduce the heavy burden of expenditure and resulted in the Inchespe Retrenchment Committee being appointed. The Committee recommended savings which in their aggregate, would amount to 191

[·] Fide Budget Statements, 1922 23 and 1923 24, by the Indian Finance Member

crores. A substantial portion of their recommendations have been adopted by the Government of India and even some expenditure likely to be productive curtailed in the interests of economy.

In such circumstances, a method of protection promising larger revenues instead of increased expenditure as in the case of bounties, is certain to be more popular with the Government of India as well as a large number of Indian politicians. Nor is this popularity of import duties quite unintelligible. For, while its advantages as a method of protection are obvious, it requires a little thought to perceive its defects.

One of these is the difficulty of regulating the exact amount of protection desired. In a scheme of discriminating or scientific protection the object is to give just that amount of protection and no more, that will bring the costs of the foreign product up to the desired level of the indigenous. This involves accurate ascertainment of foreign costs, or what is more important, the c.i.f. selling price of the foreign product at the ports. In the case of such a highly organized trade as Iron and Steel, it is easier to get this information, at any rate in Great Britain and the United States, than in other trades, Periodical trade journals give the market prices at the principal centres in the two countries from time to time. But while these prices no doubt hold good for small transactions at home, they are not to be relied on for large export deals. Indeed, except in specially brisk periods of trade, there is always a lower quotation given for exports, while this quotation itself is cut still further, in the case of actual business during comparatively slack times This is borne out by the following figures relating to the price of beams or joists on the North East Coast of England.*

A crore is Rs 10,000,000 equivalent to about £ 666,666

See page 64 of Representation to the Indian Tariff Board by the Tata Iron and Steel
 Co., Ltd., Jamshedpar, July, 1923

Price of Beams in & per ton.

1922-23	Home	Export F O. B	C I. F. offers to firms in India	F. O. B. equivalent of
Month	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	£ + d.	£sd	£ ; d	£ s d.
September	900	8 10 0	9 1 3	7 18 3
October	0 0 0	8 10 0	9 0 0	7 17 0
Nov & Dec	8 15 o	8 5 0	900	7 17 0
January	8 17 6	8 12 6	9 5 0	8 2 0
Fabruary	950	9 5 0	9 15 0	8 12 0
March	9 19 0	9 19 O	10 15 0	9 12 0

Assuming however the difficulty of ascertaining foreign selling prices to be a minor one, there is a similar difficulty inherent in protection by import duties due to price fluctuations. If an ad valorem duty be levied calculated to bridge a certain difference between existing home costs and foreign selling prices, fluctuations in either of these may render the protection either too little or too much. In times of rising prices, just when the home industry is least in need of artificial help the duty on foreign goods would become greater than necessary, while during depressions the protection afforded would contract just when this was most needed against devices like temporary dumping.

Nor are specific duties entirely free from defect in this respect. For when prices rise, the protection afforded might be too much unless the duty was calculated at a time of high prices in the industry. While when prices fall, a specific duty based on conditions of high prices would be ineffectual against times of great depression.

To a certain extent these difficulties are overcome by the method of levying duties on a tariff valuation. Here, a fixed value is taken for the goods, upon which the given percentage of duty is levied. Generally, this value is fixed with the idea of arriving at an average price for the goods. If the tariff valuation is made every six months (say on the 1st of April and October) and based on the average price prevailing in the year just preceding, then, provided the original rate of duty was fixed at a time of normal trade both in the home and foreign part of the industry, the main object of the intended protection will be achieved. For, during rising prices, when the protection required would be less, the tariff valuation being less than current prices, the actual duty realised would be less than on an ad valorem basis. On the other hand, during depressions, the tariff valuation being higher, the actual percentage of protection afforded would be much greater. Thus while not according the exact measure of protection scientifically deemed desirable, tariff valuation duties reckoned in the above manner ensure that the quantity of protection will, during large fluctuations in prices, vary with the need for it. Thus, while on the one hand they are more elastic than specific duties, they eliminate the possibilities of evasion through undervaluation that exist in an ad valorem system.

A far greater disadvantage, however, connected with import duties, is that their effects tend to be so widespread. In a basic industry like steel, any increase in price consequent on higher duties, would affect the costs of other industries using steel as their raw material. In the evidence hefore the Indian Tariff Board representatives from firms manufacturing steel castings, railway wagons, structural and bridge huilding materials, steel wire and wire nails and various other steel products, were united in deploring the effect upon them of a rise in the duty on steel. Almost all of them desired, that if protection must be given, it take the shape

of a bounty. Alternatively they were insistent that they should be protected by import duties on their products sufficient to compensate them for the extra cost of their raw materials.

Nobody would deny the justice of their claim for compensatory duties. The difficulty however, consists in devising these duties. Truly compensatory duties would necessitate a detailed inquiry into the costs of production, and their proportion due to steel, of the innumerable products of which steel forms a raw material. In view of the fact that the proportion of steel in articles vary; that a variety of such articles is produced by a single firm; that the relatively large "overhead" charges in all engineering firms make it difficult to estimate precisely the actual cost of individual articles; it is clear that there can be nothing but a very rough calculation as a basis for compensatory duties. In such calculations, it is usual for commercial firms to keep for themselves a large 'margin of safety.' The inevitable result therefore would be that compensatory duties would be levied on a scale actually higher than required, thus compelling the consumers to endure a greater barden thau is necessary.

For awhile, of course, the full effect of an import duty on prices may he obscured by the comparative inelasticity of large sources of foreign supply of steel. In Great Britain and Belgium (not to speak of Germany when she resumes her place in the trade of the world) where steel producers depend to a fair extent on foreign markets for the sale of their products, the raising of the duty on steel may not immediately cause an equivalent rise in price. Rather than lose their Indian market, manufacturers for awhile, especially during trade depression, might prefer to pay a part of the duty themselves To that extent, the burden on the fulian consumer would be reduced. But the relief could only be temporary. For when they realized the duty had come to stay, foreign manufacturers would naturally contract their supply,

and the result would be reflected in a further rise in the price of the product in India.

Ultimately therefore, the method of import duties would inflict upon the consumer a greater burden than he is actually intended to bear. The divergence between the real and intended burdens would be the smaller, the greater is the care taken in fixing compensatory duties; the smaller is the duty on the 'raw' steel; and the greater the discrimination employed in exempting from duty such steel and its products as cannot be manufactured in India for many years to come. The Tata Iron & Steel Co., have submitted a memorandum indicating the kinds of steel they manufacture already or expect to manufacture in the course of the next year or two.5 In their oral evidence before the Board they have explicitly declared that they desired no protection and even suggested remittance of existing duty against such things as anchors and cables, and high tensile and carbon steel, and cast steel of various kinds. While, however, it may be a simple matter to distinguish what kinds of steel in an elementary stage should be proteoted. hardly any of the engineering firms examined by the Board were able to supply a lucid and definite idea of the precise nature of products manufactured by them. Of course a definite and detailed category of articles manufactured in India or capable of being manufactured within a short time, might be obtained after considerable delay. But even then the trustworthiness of such a category as a guide to tariffs would be doubtful. One firm, for example, admitted in evidence that its manufacture of an article like filters varied greatly. They might make five or six in a year or not make one for two or three years. 6 This and a great deal of other evidence indicates that while attempts are being made in India to-day to

 $^{^\}circ$ Fide pp 5 and 6 of "Statements and Notes received from the Tata Iron & Steel Co " by the Tariff Board

^{*} Vide Report of Oral Evidence of the Vulcan Iron Works Co. Ltd., Calcutta in the "Statesman" of 30th September, 1923

manufacture a number of articles, such manufactures have got to pass through the purely experimental stage and prove their adaptability to Indian conditions.

The task of discriminating the kinds of articles that would require compensatory duties and at the same time not leaving other manufactures unassisted that might conceivably he suited to Indian methods of production, is one difficult of satisfactory performance. For, if one shows excessive leniency to incipient manufactures, it is practically certain to cause consumers a greater loss than would he strictly necessary. Some idea of the classes of consumers likely to suffer, and the extent of the increased charges upon them, is given, though not without considerable exaggeration, in a recently published monograph. It may be taken as a fact, however, that any high import duty on steel to-day would cause:

- (1) a greater loss to consumers than that warrented by the measure of protection it is desired to give, and
- (2) a probable net loss to the country as a whole (asuming the policy of compensatory duties is adopted for subsidiary industries) even after estimating any gains from an increase in the indigenous capacity for production.

From these consequences, the giving of a hounty to steel manufacturers would free the country. Nor is a policy of bounty together with a slight increase, say of 5% in import duffes, without distinct advantages of its own.

Bounties however, have some ohvious disadvantages which suffice to condemn them in the eyes of the superficial thinker. There is first the expense of setting up new machinery for administering them. The cost of expert supervision in India is very high, while in the administering of a hounty on steel, such supervision must necessarily he hoth highly efficient and honest. Besides, it is hardly to be expected that bountied

firms would welcome a very close and constant investigation into the costs and volume of their production. Bounty inspectors would have to be endowed with an ample quantity of tact and firmness if they are not to fail in their duty. Though the cost of engaging suitable men for the task would be undoubtedly high, it should be regarded as an inevitable concomitant of a policy of discriminating protection. Nor would it be without recompense. For, the information gained by the inspectors through a close and constant touch with the industry, would he extremely valuable in forming the future judgments of the Tariff Board regarding it.

A far greater obstacle to bounties is the direct cost of the bounty to the State. Converting the 23½% increase in protection demanded on the hasis of Rs. 150 per ton of steel, a member of the Tariff Board estimated the bounty at Rs. 35 per ton on 400,000 tons to cost Rs. 1,40,00,000. Of course the actual bounty given may not be at so high a rate. It was considered however that anything approximating such a obarge could not be borne by the strained revenues of the Government of India. This conclusion is not, however, valid against a small bounty.

There are many ways in which the cost of such a bounty might be met. One way would be to realise some of the recommendations of the Inchcape Retrenchment Committee in regard to military and railway expenditure, much more than has been done in the 1923-24 Budget. If, instead of a figure of 62½ crores, the former item is brought down to the level of 67 crores as suggested by Lord Inchcape, there would be an ample reserve for a judicious policy of assistance to indigenous industry. Again, a serious effort might be made to derive a net surplus for the State from the railways, by rigidly curtailing expenditure both on capital and revenue accounts on those lines that cannot show a return of 5½% on the total capital invested. Besides, a careful revision of some rates and fares

in a downward direction, would probably yield a larger net revenue than at present.*

But besides reducing expenditure, means might he found by a more careful administration of income taxes. It is notorious that many businesses now escape paying this tax entirely. While in many cases, evasion through false and incomplete declarations, is responsible for a big loss in revenue. The constitution of a separate Central Board of Revenue with distinct administrations for the income-tax and other sources of Revenue is a step in the right direction, which should reduce the loss now suffered by evasion.

Again, an overhauling of the tariff generally might prove a fruitful source of income to the State as well as a welcome relief to certain large classes of consumers. The luxury duty of 80% on so-called luxuries as provisions and oilman's stores might be reduced by half without perhaps any loss to the Revenue; while the export duties on raw jute and tea should be doubled, an export tax be imposed on petrol and a small import duty be reimposed on silver hullion which now comes in free. All these new taxes ¹⁹ would bring in large additions to the revenue while none of them would be at all oppressive. ¹¹

- Fold Expert of the Retreachment Committee, 1922 23 (actions on Railways, pp. 00-41) Since VIN Wile Write the return of estimates of 1923 24 and Budget estimates 1924.25 show a net strip to first the State after payment of interest charges, etc., 04 257 of 40 lakhs in 1924 25 according to the Indian Expulsions does not accept the exhima for the separation of the railway budget from the general finances of the country.
- Although raves and faret some 1993-14 have increased from 90 to 75% the receipts from powerger and poolst ranke enhangeallowance for the normal increase in the volume of traffic for the decade, have shown healty any merease. The fall in proces and incomes during the law three years particularly, suggests that rates and farets are now unsecondically high.
- 10 Since this was first written, the Government of India have accepted the resolution in the Council of State suggesting as inquiry into the whole system of taxation, Imperial and Profitcal. The composition of the committee of enquiry has not yet been disclosed.
- 1) The additional tax on taw jote would roughly amount to 14 annus on a md or about 1, 9 d, per cent and read a diseasely have to be paid by frough bytes who at present take not more than [of India's paie crop, the rest b-mg consumed by indigenous mills now on about time of 4 days a week for nearly 2 years. On foll time, local mills can

If necessary, therefore, funds could he found for a moderate hounty.

We have seen however, from the preceding discussion. that the difference hetween the English hase price of Rs. 135 per ton c.i.f. and our "fair price" is less than Rs. 17. If the present duty of 10% on iron and steel were raised to 15% against British goods, their base price could not go under Rs, 155 and would thus afford the Indian manufacturer equal terms of competition against them. It would not however he sufficient protection against Belgian or non-Imperial steel. To have this, assuming a uniform tariff valuation for all steel (from whatever source) imported, it would be necessary to increase the duty on steel from outside the Empire to 25%. Further, to prevent foreign steel from passing off as British. Certificates of Origin after the manner required by the Australian Tariff Act will be required, while the possibility of evasion through undervaluation will be avoided through adopting the tariff valuation system, the tariff value being adjusted every six months, on the hasis of the British f. o. b. prices for export during the previous twelve months plus an allowance for freight and insurance.

But with all this, it may be said that the immediate problem of helping the Tata Company to pass through its present trials during 1924-25 will still remain to be solved. The fact that the "fair price" of Rs. 151-5 per ton is unattainable during that period constitutes a claim for some sort of additional protection of a temporary nature. Presuming that the company's costs in 1921-25 should not exceed the

absorb ξ the jute crop in India On tea, the additional impost would be ξd per 1b, and would not harm India in Great British her main market, where she enjoys a preference against China and Java teas. The tax on perfor would come out of the pockets of the monopolistic Borran Ol Company, whose internal price was for long much above itse in ξ price in foreign markets, and still is somewhat higher than this λ duty of say λ^2 or $1\lambda^2$ on silver bullion would have very lattle effect on the cost of ornaments to the poor, though it might retriain somewhat the wild speculation and corners included in by the Bernhay bullion merchanes.

figure of Rs. 160—upon which they based their original claim for protection in their letter of the 23rd October, 1922, to the Government of Indin,—an additional temporary bounty of Rs. 10 per ton might he given for a year provided the total production of finished steel of all descriptions is not below 250,000 tons in the year. With a view to encouraging an expansion in production as soon as possible, small additional bounties increasing by Re. 1 per ton for every increase of 10,000 tons in production up to a maximum of Rs. 15 for 300,000 tons might be granted. Of course the steel would have to satisfy the requirements of quality laid down by the Metallurgical Inspector. This will cost the State not less than Rs. 25,00,000 and possibly Rs. 31,50,000 sums not exceeding its capacity to put forth for a "hasic" industry.

In due course, the bounty would be withdrawn. But if it transpired in 1925 that the expectations on which our estimate of a fair price was based, could not be realized; or if exceptional conditions arose materially lowering the c.i.f. price of foreign steel in India, then the bounty might be continued until the conditions, upon which the remaining recommendations depend, are realized.

We are aware that the policy recommended here is likely to he criticized on a number of grounds. There is the class of critic who, arguing from the general unsuitability of Imperial Preference to Indian conditions, would oppose any differentiation in the tariff hetween imperial and foreign imports. To this class of critic, it is a sufficient answer that no measure of 'marginal' protection against British imports would be adequate against foreign. While, if the duty were made uniform at a level sufficient to protect against the latter, the burden would be disproportionately heavy on the Indian consumer, particularly during the first five or eight years of the new regime when there is no possibility of India's supplying all her needs from her own manufactures.

Apart from this kind of critic, two other classes might possibly be met with. The first would object to our proposals on the ground of their being insufficiently attractive for new firms to enter the steel industry. They would urge that the Indian Iron and Steel Co., and the United Steel Corporation of India indicated their willingness to start manufacture on the assumptions of a 33½ per cent. duty and a current price in India of about Rs. 180 per ton for steel. Neither of these conditions are fulfilled by the above proposals and hence it is very unlikely that new firms will be found to enter the steel industry.

Without questioning for the moment, whether it is a desirable thing in itself that new firms should enter the ndustry, no matter what their costs of production, let us see whether it is likely that they will find the conditions created by our proposals unattractive. Firstly, as regards initial costs, they will have substantial advantages over the Tata Co. They will be in a position to install the latest and most up to date plants whose average mechanical efficiency should be greater than that of Tata's hampered as they are by a number of old, open-hearth furnaces. Again, considering the low price of machinery to-day compared with the levels of 1919-20, they should get their plant cheaper, proportionately. than the cost to Tata's of their 'greater extensions.' Further they will have benefited greatly from some of the experience gained by Tata's, in avoiding unnecessary expenditure of many kinds, and engaging their foreign supervisory labour on less generous terms. In this, unlike Tata's, they will not he hampered by long-term contracts made when the labour market was high. Nor in view of the chronic state of unemployment among large numbers in Great Britain, should it be difficult to recruit a large labour force there and induce them to make India their homes for the rest of their working lives, on reasonable terms which would yet he considerably less than that paid by Tata's. Again, new firms would be unhampered by many of the unfavourable contracts which Tatas have to fulfil for the supply of very cheap rails, it for the supply of steel to subsidiary companies at comparatively low prices; is and for the purchase of coal at unusually high rates. in

All these advantages should go a long way towards equalising the disadvantage of not being first in the field. In addition new firms would start their work, under psychological conditions much more favourable than those encountered by Tata. They will have in the fact of the acceptance of a policy of protection, some guarantee that their legitimate causes of complaint will have a favourable hearing. Further, in so far as they are unlikely to bring any products to market before five and possibly eight years, they have every reason to look forward to more normal market conditions—a larger and more stable demand, and a steady, if any, foreign supply at prices free from the kaleidoscopic falls and fluctuations oaused by depreciating exchanges and unstable political conditions in Europe.

If in spite of these conditions, new firms do not enter the steel industry, it will be because they are totally wanting in that spirit of enterprize without which no business undertaking can hope for, or deserve success. Their absence then should not be regretted by India. Indeed it should be a matter of congratulation. For had they embarked on the industry, their costs of production would probably have remained so high for so long a period, as to make the burden of protecting them intolerable to the Indian consumer. For the latter, while willing to inone a reasonable sacrifice for an industry likely to establish itself in a comparatively short time such as ten or fifteen years, does not subscribe to the view that

inefficient producers should be assisted to prolong their operations for an interminable period, simply because these are conducted in India.

Indeed, there is a section of opinion which would probably object to the policy recommended by us on the very opposite ground of its according too much protection and being an unjustifiable burden on the consumer. Most of the opposition to protection is from merchants and other middlemen who see in it a reduction of trade for themselves. Some of it is from manufacturers of steel castings, structural materials, bridge work, steel wire and wire products, tinplates, and wagons, practically all of whom have only recently commenced manufacture. The latter fear a rise in the cost of their raw materials, though few among them would be found to protest against a 15% tariff 15 on British steel imports or even one of 25% against foreign, provided they are given compensatory duties. Neglecting the motives of the middleman and manufacturer of steel goods, it may be interesting to have an approximate idea of the way in which consumers may be affected by our proposals.

Let us therefore examine the figures of imports for the calendar year 1923, and see to what extent their opposition is justified. It would appear that in 1923 over 755,000 toos under the customs category Iron and Steci and manufactures thereof valued at Rs. 1,793 likks were imported into India while, nearly 10,000 tons of these valued at 11 lakhs were re-exported. The subjoined table indicates clearly the distribution of the total imports and the proportion from the United Kingdom and foreign countries.

¹⁴ Mesers George Severce & Co, merchants and manufacturers of Bombay, declared that raising the duty by about 5% or so would make hitle difference to the volume or profits of their business. This was also the gist of the evidence given by the Bombay Chamber of Commerce.

Imports under Customs Category of Iron and Steel and Manufactures thereof, during the Calendar year, 1923.

	Class 14	Quantity (m tons)	Value (in Es 1,000)	Average Value per ton
		Ton	Rs	Re
I	Non-Duttable	5 500	21,39	359
11	Pig Iron	5,000	5,49	110
III.	Government Stores	20,000	42,59	213
ıv	Urallocated	63,000	1,48,58	236
٣.	U K. Imports	367,000	10 31,64	261
vi	Other Countries	295,000	5 47,90	184
	Total	755,500	17,97,59	239

Assuming the 'unallocated' imports to be distributed proportionately between the U. K., and other countries, the amount of foreign imports into India of iron and steel and manufactures thereof does not exceed 325,000 tons valued at approximately 600 lakhs of rupees. On an ad valorem basis, the extra burden of 15% (from 10% to 25%) on this sum would amount to about Rs. 90 lakhs. This would however be greater if the duties were levied on tariff valuations based on prices in the U.K., as advocated by us.

¹º Of the six classes given here, the first contains acticles like ambiors and cibble, springs steel, jobs peed, carbon and high tennile steel which cannot be manifestrout india and may be made free of duty on account of the small revenue they now bring. It is possible that expert scrubin of the other acticles imported under the small Customs Category would discover some more belonging nightly to the first class. "Pig Iron" is also given as a seperate class as the existing duty no longer required for protection might will be abolished." "Coverment Storen" come almost entirely from the U.K. and as such would only be liable to 15%, though multi the 1924 25 Budget was introduced they were free of duty. The fourth class contains articles whose origin as a between the U.K. and other countries is not distinguished in the Accounts of Indias Poreign Sea Borne Trade for the Calcular parts 1929 from which there figures are obtained.

The maximum possible increase in the burden on this basis would not however exceed Rs. 169 lakhs.¹⁷ On British imports (including Government Stores) the extra burden, if the price is raised by the full extent of the additional 5% duty, would be 584 lakhs.¹⁸

These figures however do not include the following articles of iron and steel classified under the heading Railway Plant, which would also have to pay a substantial duty of 15% as over 99% comes from the U.K. These are:—Bridge work (14,000 tons, 35 lakhs); Rails, Chairs and Fish-Plates (67,000 tons, 92 lakhs); Iron and Steel Sleepers and Keys (13,000 tons, 21 lakhs); Government Stores (6,200) tons, 11 lakhs or a total of about 100,000 tons valued at 160 lakhs. The additional charge on these items would amount to 8 lakhs.

It does not follow, however, that the raising of the import duties on iron and steel to 15% and 25% respectively on British and non-British imports, would inflict upon the consumer an annual burden of about 235 lakhs in respect of the products already dealt with, to say nothing of any other minor tariff adjustments that may be necessitated for goods under other categories especially those of (1) Machinery and (2) Cutlery, Hardware, Instruments, etc. Particularly regarding the non-British section of the trade, it is well known in trade circles that the uncertainties of delivery and price make regular and steady competition among individuals in

¹¹ This figure is arrived at in the following way. Assuming for rough calculation that he present rate of duty on the various articles included in the category from and Steel and Manafactures thereof works out at 10% at valores (thus is not very wide of the truth considering the total duty realised from all hields of Iron and Steel and Manufactures thereof, act imports of which for 1923 was valoued at 1767 lakks, amounted to Rs 172.56 lakks) thus is equivalent to Rs 184 per ton on the average value of all foreign imports in 1922. Non if the duty is raised to 25% truff relaxion based on British prices, it may be regarded equivalent to [of the average prices of U K imports, if, \$ of 231 or R · 70 per ton. The difference of mentify Rs 52 per ton will be the additional burden, and this on 35,000 tons amounts to Rs 169 lakks

¹¹ Sabtracting from the total value of 1,798 lakhs, 600 lakhs for foreign imports and about 27 lakhs for the value of Pig men and anon-Datable urwicks, we have a remainder of about 1,170 lakks, on which an additional burden of 5% comes to 5% lakks.

this section difficult with the result that the middleman's profit is unusually high, while frequently a long chain of middlemen intervene between the importer and ultimate consumer. In these circumstances, the first as well as some of the ultimate effects of raising the duty to 25%, will be to reduce some links in the chain of middlemen and lower their profits. Only a portion of the enhanced duty is likely to be thrown on the consumer, whose burden will therefore be considerably less than the ostimated maximum of 169 lakhs, for non-British imports.

Altogether, perhaps, the additional burden on the consumers of British as well as foreign imports of iron and steel and railway plant (including rolling stock) would hardly exceed 175 lakhs, so long as the present scale of imports was maintained. This hurden would be offset by the receipt of nn equivalent or larger amount of customs revenue by the Central Government, so that provided this revenue is wisely spent, the net result is simply a transference of wealth from certain classes to others in the country itself. The consumers of steel include the general public as represented by the Central and provincial governments and municipalities, semipublic authorities like Port Trusts and tramway companies which combine the supply of public utilities at a reasonable cost with a commercial organization designed for the profit of shareholders; and a host of purely commercial hodies and private individuals like landlords and huilders. All these classes except perhaps the first are well able to bear the additional hurden; and what the first have to endure in extra cost of railway plant and irrigation works, they amply recover in the form of additional customs revenue. So far then, as imports are rendered more expensive, the additional imposition hardly reduces the economic welfare of the country.

Of course, in addition, consumers will have to bear the burden of the higher prices which the Tata Co. may be enabled to charge for their products owing to the increased duty. The extent of this burden, will be much less than the extra burden incurred on the present volume of imports which when shared by middlemen and consumers was estimated at 235 lakhs. Assuming it to be in proportion to the relative quantities imported and manufactured in India and, taking an indigenous output as large as 400,000 tons (a figure not likely to be attained before 1926) the additional burden on the consumer on account of local manufactures would be about 112 lakhs,19 Against this must be set the economic gain to the country from Tata's expansion estimated at one crore at least, in the shape of increased wages, taxes, railway earnings.20 If in addition is reckoned the increased profits to the shareholders, and the indirect advantage to the country from the increase of skill and productive power among a number of its inhabitants, there can be very little doubt as to the balance of advantage to the country, from the policy recommended here.

The total volume of net imports of Iron and Steel and ratiway plant (excluding rolling stock) affected by the necreased duty after deducting for re-expects, pig iron, and non dutible is 835,000 tous. The additional cost of local manufactures to the consumer, calculated on the basis mentioned in the text is therefore given by the following fraction 235 x 100 laths

 $^{^{10}}$ - $\Gamma(ds$ paras, 9-12, pp. 13-15, of "Representation submitted to the Tariff Board by the Tata Iron and Steel Co."

CHAPTER VIII.

SUPPLEMENTARY MEASURES.

The adoption of the policy indicated in the previous chapter, involves as a corollary the modification of the existing tariff in certain directions.

Firstly, great care will be necessary in order to distinguish between those articles of iron and steel which are now being manufactured in Iodia, and those which will not be manufactured for some time. The fact that a certain company or firm is projected or even registered should not be taken as proof of the manufacture of its intended products in India, Still more, the merely experimental or occasional manufacture of an article, such as filters or metal shelving should not he regarded as proof of the establishment of that manufacture in India

Applying these criteria, a fair number of articles under the customs categories, (1) Iron and Steel and Manufactures thereof, and (2) Railway Plant and Rolling Stock, and practically all articles under (3) Cutlery, Hardware, etc., and (4) Machinery will fall into the group that cannot be manufactured in India. In so far as any of these articles may be indispensable in other Indiao industries—such as most kinds of textile machinery, all kinds of electrical machinery and various other articles like vacuum brakes and springs for railway carriages and wagous—the present duties should be remitted or only retained at such a low tevel—like the 2½% revenue duty on Machinery—that it does not seriously affect the dependent industries. Where the articles are for direct consumption in their existing form by the ultimate consumer,

the present duties may be either retained or reduced or remitted according as considerations of revenue dictate.

Secondly, in regard to articles like pig iron the manufacture of which on an economic scale is firmly established in India, it would be advisable to remit entirely the existing duty, for while the protection afforded by it is not required, the revenue yielded is insignificant.

Thirdly, the acceptance of the method of tariff valuation and the assumption of a 'base' price of Rs. 135 per ton for steel, will necessitate the modification of the present tariff values of some articles and the substitution of others for articles like beams, girders, and huilding materials, holts and nuts, pipes, tubes, fittings and the like that are now imported on an ad valorem basis. Perhaps the best method of estimating the tariff values for each article, is to make them the same proportion to 135 less freight and insurance from Great Britain which the cost of each article in Great Britain hears to the 'base' price of steel there, and then to add the appropriate amount for freight and insurance (per ton) on the article concerned. This method should not fail, considering that in almost every single article included under the main head 'Iron & Steel and Manufactures thereof,' there is some import from Great Britain. Besides, it has the advantage, of choosing as the regulator of India's tariff values, a country whose internal prices are least likely to diverge from world prices under normal conditions, and thereby, of keeping continually before the Indian industry the level of prices at which it should aim if it is ever to do without protection.

Again, granting the revision of the tariff for the protection of the 'raw' steel industry in India, there will arise the problem of protection to subsidiary or dependent industries that may find the cost of their raw material increased by the tariff. The Tariff Board took the evidence of a number of such industries including those connected with wagon building, tinplates, steel castings, steel wire and wire products,

agricultural implements, enamelled ironware, and structural and fabricated steel especially those necessary for huildings, workshops, dock works, bridges and irrigation works.

(a) The evidence of the Engineering Association made it clear that its members did not desire any protection for themselves, but would be content if the duty on fabricated steel were raised to the same level as that on steel, Considering that the hulk of competition in their manufactures is from the United Kingdom, application of the same rates of duty to fabricated as to 'raw' steel imports would amply satisfy them, particularly as the Government, which can consume over 50% of their output, has now accepted the policy of calling for tenders from Indian manufacturers for all goods produced in India, before placing their orders elsewhere. This was a point upon which the members of the Association were keener than on any compensatory duty, as they rightly anticipated a substantial lowering of their costs of production if they could keep their works fairly occupied throughout the year. only difficulty likely to be experienced in satisfying the memhers of the Engineering Industry is in the proper adjustment of the tariff on some of the articles coming in under the general customs head "Machinery" on which only 212 is now levied, while parts of such machinery made of iron or steel were imported at 10%, thus hampering manufacture in India.1 Unfortunately the Association were not quite definite as to what articles of "Machinery" they manufactured and what was the extent of the rise in their cost of production through steel being rendered dearer by the tariff, existing or proposed.

Thinking however, of a possible 33\frac{1}{3}\textcolor{2}{3}\textcolor{1}{4}\

¹ The winding engine was given as an instance of this anomaly in the present tariff

preferential tariff of 15% and 25% respectively will only result in increasing the cost of this part from 5 to 15%, a small increase in duty on those articles of Machinery only which are actually being produced in India in fair quantities should meet their case. Probably a 5% duty would be found ample for such articles as colliery and jute machinery of the kind available or likely to be available in India in the near future.

- (b) The manufacture of enamelled ironware, and agricultural implements in India has peculiar features of its own. Two subsidiary companies in which Messrs. Tata & Sons are interested, are about to engage in these lines and they have contracts for their steel supply which would leave the price unaffected by a duty at any rate for some time. Considering that there are only one or two other firms interested in enamelling ironware, it would be unwise to raise the duty on these beyond the existing 15%. The better way might be to grant the firms the concession they desire of a rebate on raw material imported by them provided (1) these are only used for their manufactures and (2) they are not available in India as oheaply. Agricultural implements should be allowed to come in free as at present. Any claims for protection might be deferred for future investigation based on the actual experience and costs of the Agricultural Implements Co, and the financial position of the Indian agriculturist.
 - (c) In regard to the production of steel wire and wire nails the Indian Steel Wire Products Ltd. (another company associated with Tata's though the latter hold no shares threeful, demanded a small measure of protection (even on the existing tariff) for 10 years only. The chief source of imports for their wares, steel wire and wire nails, was from Germany and Belgium who together with other countries provided about 90% of the total of almost 18,000 tons of these articles imported in the Calendar year 1923, the remainder coming from Great Britain. Considering the character of these products, the application of the general rates of 15% on British and 25%

on foreign imports should not cause undue hardship to the consumer while affording ample protection to the local industry.

- (d) Another 'infant' industry is the steel casting industry. Apart from castings for their own use made by some of the railway workshops, in the country, there are very few private firms manufacturing these. The principal trouble with these seemed not to be high tariffs on their raw materials as backward organisation for purchase and sale. One firm, the Kirtyanand Steel Co. Ltd., was purchasing scrap at Rs. 90 per ton when other firms were getting scrap (probably slightly inferior in quality) at Rs. 40. Further, they had still to get their wares known in the market, and until this occurred and orders came in, obviously overhead charges would remain high. Some of their difficulties were due to exceptionally low-priced articles like colliery wheels and tubs being imported from the continent. Considering this, the industry would not have cause for complaint if the general rates of 15% and 25% were applied to those castings manufactured in quantities sufficiently large to satisfy the Tariff Board of their importance to the industry of the country.
- (e) As regards the wagon industry in the country, measures of a probably more complex kind will be necessary. The chief nay the sole consumer is the railways, both State and Company managed, whose combined demand is estimated at 6,000 wagons annually. Practically the whole of this has hitherto been imported from Great Britain for obvious reasons, i.e., cheapness and reliability of quality. In India, however, works exist capable of producing about 3,000 wagons annually. The only difficulty is that Indian prices are considerably higher. A variety of reasons has been advanced for this. On the one hand, it is alleged, that British manufactures (at any rate in 1922) only prices to unremunerative levels merely to prevent their works from closing down. On the other hand, cost of production in India was high for a

number of reasons. Labour was more expensive and less efficient than before the war: the Government restriction that Indian wagon manufacturers should use Indian raw materials as far as possible, very often prevented manufacturers getting their material in the cheapest markets; British manufacturers also had the nasty hahit of raising the price of those indispensable parts, like vacuum brakes and springs, which could not be manufactured in India; while finally, the absence of orders in the past three or four years had caused overhead charges to go up to abnormal heights. The remedy for this state of affairs was guaranteed State purchases, and compensatory protection in the event of the tariff on steel and steel castings heing raised. The adoption of the latter suggestion would cause the tariff on railway plant and rolling stock to be raised to 15% and 25% respectively on British and foreign imports (or, considering hardly anything under these categories comes from anywhere except the U. K, to a substantive rate of 15%). This would cause no injury to the Indian taxpayer. since he in the capacity of the State, owns over 20% of the capital invested in the railways, and the extra burden on the railways would be entirely recouped and more, were there no change in imports after the enhanced duty. For while the state would get back the extra charge on their portion of new capital in the shape of duty, the 10% of foreign owners of railway stock would be mulcted of the duty on capital invested by them, while any failure of the railways to earn sufficient for their interest charges will only reduce the surplus profits which, under the present arrangement, accrue in part to these foreign owners. Actually, however, the raising of the duty on rolling stock in so far as it decreases imports and increases wagon rurchases in India will transfer some resources from the taxpayer to the wagon manufacturer, but this would be justified if it succeeded in establishing the wagon industry firmly. A step towards this is being made by the manufacture of an increasing number of parts in India, and

with improvement in labour and the firm establishment of the steel and steel-casting industry, this consummation should not be impossible. Only, it would hardly be worth while to try to achieve it by guaranteeing the purchase of a number of wagons in India annually, as desired by the wagon companies. The better way would be (1) to make all imports of rolling stock, including those by Government, liable to duty 2 (at 15% and 25% respectively), (2) for Government to ask for tenders in India and to compare Indian with British prices c.i.f. plus duty and landing charges giving the order to the cheaper supplier, unless special reasons were given showing that the cost of any particular part had been raised higher than that at which it was available for British consumers, together with the necessary transport and other charges.

This procedure would prevent the possibility (not so remote as some people imagine) of the taxpayer being severely mulcted by any combination of the two or three wagon manuacturing firms now in India, such as might ensue if it were known that, in any case, a certain number of wagons had to be purchased in India every year. It would also prevent the possibility of stagnation, and, while affording some help to a struggling industry, give it the necessary fillip towards efficiency which the wagon industry seems to need in India.

(f) We now come to the last of the subsidiary industries,—the timplate industry. Represented by a single firm, the Timplate Co Ltd., of Golmuri, this was the first subsidiary industry to give evidence befine the Tariff Board, and the most preposterous in its demands. With a capital of Rs. 75 lakbs, subscribed by the Burmah Oil Company and the Tata Iron and Steel Company in \$\frac{1}{2}\$ and \$\frac{1}{2}\$ shares respectively its representative had the audacity to claim a 15% duty on timplates irrespective of that imposed on steel. A few facts will show the preposterous nature of the claim. While the import

² Since this was written the Government of India have accepted the principle of subjecting all imports whether on Government or private account to existing rates of duty

of tinned plates into India during 1923 was 46,000 tons (the triennial average before the Tinplate Company started operation was approximately 56,000 tons), the full capacity of the company could not go beyond 28,000 to 30,000 tons. This might be reached by March, 1925, while at present not more than 3 the plant was in operation. By agreement, the Burmah Oil Company, one of the parents, take 3 of the output for their containers for kerosene oil, so that only a quarter or about 7,000 tons would at best be available for other consumers whose demand would not be less than 20,000 or 25,000 tons. A high duty on timplates would thus give a magnificent opportunity to this company, owned by two practical monopolists to raise prices and make large profits for their shareholders. Nor is this likely to be the only result of such a duty. Alleging the high price of tinplates as an exonse the Burmah Oil Company might very likely (this transpired in oral evidence in answer to a question by Mr. Ginwala) raise the price of kerosene, the bulk of which is consumed by the poorest classes in India. The net effect of the duty claimed would therefore be a large transfer of wealth from the poorest classes to the least deserving of business firms (monopolists, who have made large profits, one in the past, while the other is still making them).

Nor does there seem to be the slightest justification in enhanced cost of raw material or other reasons, for the suggested duty. It is true that such materials as sulphur, palm oil, tin and pinkmeal have to pay 15 per cent. but the tinplate company have an agreement with Tata's for the supply of their steel sheet bars at prices whose basis is independent of any duty in India (i.e., f.o.b. Swansea), while the net effect of the agreement is to make it Tata's interest that the price of tinplates in India (duty paid) should be as high as possible 3

^{&#}x27; The Tata Company get or pay half the difference between the price at which foreign implains might be available in Iodia (duty paid) in any particular your, and the cost of production of the Turplate Go (rate pr 2 021 of "Statements and Notes, etc.")

This curious kind of agreement while it explains, hardly justifies the company's claim for 45 per cent. duty. The utmost that might properly he done for it, is to give it the benefit of the general proposed tariff for steel, i.e., 15 per cent. and 25 per cent. on British and foreign imports, and leave the two monopolists to settle questions of profit-sharing among themselves. In practice, considering that over 40,000 tons of tinplates came from Great Britain in 1923, the substantive duty on this article would be only 15 per cent. or an increase of only 5 per cent.

It remains only to attempt an estimate of the relative advantages and disadvantages of the supplementary measures outlined above. The recommendations in regard to agricultural implements, steel wire and wire nails, structural materials, steel castings and tinplates, involve no additional cost to that already discussed in the previous chapter as all except the first of these articles (which remains duty free) are articles included in the category of Iron and Steel and Manufactures thereof, already dealt with.

Unfortunately, no definite particulars are available to estimate the additional burden on the country from an increase of duty of those articles of machinery manufactured in India. For such an estimate one must know (1) the exact descriptions of these articles, (2) the proposed enhancement in the duty on them, (3) the present volume of imports of these and their value, (4) the number of such articles manufactured in India and their price, (5) the probable variations in (1), (3) and (4) as a result of (2). In the absence of this knowledge one can only hope that any enhanced duty on articles of Machinery will be cantiously levied and carefully administered, and will lead in due course to the development of the manufacture of machinery in India.

The case of the wagon building industry is different. Our proposals would entail the raising of the present duty of 10 per cent, to 15 per cent, and 25 per cent, on United Kingdom and foreign imports respectively. In so far as this does not affect the volume of imports, there is a slight balance of gain to the taxpayer from such a measure, as the additional capital cost he has to incur as the owner of 90 per cent. of India's railway property is balanced by the additional customs revenues he derives, including as this does the increased duty on the capital invested in railways by parties other than the State. It may be however-indeed it is to be hoped-that the enhanced duty will lead to larger orders placed in India. To the extent that this occurs, it means an additional burden of of the previous cost of a wagon on the taxpayer. If we assume that the maximum present Indian capacity of 3,000 wagons per annum is utilised thereby, the extra cost to the taxpayer (assuming, as stated in the evidence of the Indian Standard Wagon Co., before the Tariff Board, that a British Al type wagon costs approximately Rs. 3,500 duty paid in India) will not exceed 5 lakhs.5 This sum would go to swell the pockets of the wagon builders it is true, but in addition there must be reckoned on the credit side, the increased wages, interest and taxes accruing from the maintenance of the wagon industry which might otherwise die from a lack of orders. Thus viewed, the expense of protecting the wagon industry can hardly be thought excessive.

Indeed, a review of our main as well as subsidiary proposals, creates the conviction, that it is perhaps the best possible effort to perform the difficult and thankless task of according such protection to the Indian Steel and subsidiary industries, as will be sufficient to assist their gradual and steady growth and yet not inflict an intolerable burden upon India.

As bardly a single wagon is ever imported from any other country than Great Biltain the effect of the enhanced daty, will be in increase the cost of a wigon from say 110 to 115 or by 1 of the previous cost 110.

The extra cost to the tarpayer from the purchase of 3,000 wagons in India as the result of raising the duty from 10 per cent. to 15 per cent, would be, 3,000 \times 3.3 μ 0 or about 41 labs.

BIBLIOGRAPHY.

- (1) Blue Books and Pamphlets.
 - (a) Report of the Iudian Industrial Commission, 1916-18.
 - (b) Report of the Indian Fiscal Commission.
 - (c) Report of the Indian Retrenchment Committee.
 - (d) Budget Statements of Government of India 1922-23, 1923-24 and 1924-25.
 - (e) Accounts of Sea-Borne Trade of British India for December 1923 (including figures for the Calendar year 1923).
 - (f) Representation to the Indian Tariff Board submitted by the Tata Iron and Steel Cn.
 - (g) Statements and Notes, submitted by the Tata Iron and Steel Co.
 - (h) Oral Evidence of representatives of Tata Iron and Steel Co., given at Jamshedpur in August, 1923.
 - (i) "A factor in India's Progress," By George Pilcher (Longman).
 - (j) "Protection to the Indian Steel Industry." By K. T Shah (published under the auspices of the Indian Fiscal Reform League, Bombay).

(2) Tariff history.

- (a) Ashley · Modern Tariff History.
- (b) Chomley: Protection in Canada and Australia.
- (c) Dawson: Protection in Germany.
- (d) Low: Protection in the United States.
- (e) Pigou: Protective and Preferential Import duties.

BIBLIOGRAPHY.

- (1) Blue Books and Pamphlels.
 - (a) Report of the Indian Industrial Commission, 1916-18.
 - (b) Report of the Indian Fiscal Commission.
 - (c) Report of the Indian Retrenchment Committee.
 - (d) Budget Statements of Government of India, 1922-23, 1923-1924 and 1924-1925.
 - (e) Accounts of Sea-Borne Trade of British India for December 1923 (including figures for the Calendar year 1923).
 - (f) Representation to the Indian Tariff Board submitted by the Tata Iron and Steel Co.
 - (g) Statements and Notes, submitted by the Tata Iron and Steel Co.
 - (h) Oral Evidence of representatives of Tata Iron and Steel Co., given at Jamshedpur in August, 1923.
 - "A factor in India's Progress," By George Pilcher (Longman).
 - "Protection to the Indian Steel Industry." By K. T. Shah (published under the auspices of the Indian Fiscal Reform League, Bombay).

(2) Tariff history.

- (a) Ashley: Modern Tariff History.
- (b) Chomley: Protection in Canada and Australia.
- (c) Dawson: Protection in Germany.
- (d) Low: Protection in the United States.
- (e) Pigou: Protective and Preferential Import duties.

- (3) Protection, Free trade and allied topics.
 - (a) Tausigg: Free Trade, Tariff. Reciprocity.
 - (b) List: National System of Political Economy.
 - (c) Dietzel: Retaliatory Duties.
 - (d) Bolen: Plain Facts as to the Trusts and Tariffs.
 - (e) Pierce: Tariff and the Trusts.
 - (f) Macrosty; Trust Movement in British Industry.
 - (g) MacGregor: Industrial Combination.
 - (h) Shah: Trade, Tariffs and Transport in India.